SPAS 2024 Superconductivity & Particle AcceleratorS October 21-24, 2024, IFJ PAN Kraków, Poland

SIMPLIFIED MODEL OF THERMO-FLUID PROCESSES IN FORCED FLOW HE II

Sławomir Pietrowicz¹, Przemysław Błasiak¹, Marcin Opalski^{1,2}, Bertrand Baudouy²,

¹Department of Thermodynamics and Renewable Energy Sources, Faculty of Mechanical and Power Engineering, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Poland ² UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CEA IRFU DACM, FRANCE

E-mail: slawomir.pietrowicz@pwr.edu.pl



- INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS
- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A
 SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL
- EXPERIMENT
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- CONCLUSIONS





• INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS

- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A
 SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL
- EXPERIMENT
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- CONCLUSIONS

Outline



Introduction or motivation

- Different classifications of system with respect to cooling
- Review of the different cooling methods
- Implementation of superfluid helium forced flow in any CFD software.





Introduction or motivation

Where?

1. Cooling Superconducting Magnets

Superfluid helium is used to cool superconducting magnets, such as those found in MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) machines, particle accelerators, and fusion reactors. These magnets operate at very low temperatures (around 4 K or lower) to remain in a superconducting state. The forced flow of superfluid helium ensures efficient heat removal from the system, helping to maintain stable superconductivity over extended periods.

2. Particle Accelerators

In large particle accelerators like CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC), superfluid helium is forced through cooling channels to cool superconducting magnets and other critical components. The low-temperature cooling is essential to keep the system at the desired operational temperature, typically in the range of 1.8 K to 2 K, ensuring high performance and energy efficiency in the accelerator.

3. Space Applications

Forced flow of superfluid helium is used in space exploration for cooling sensitive instruments, such as infrared detectors and communication equipment on spacecraft and satellites. In space, superfluid helium is often used to cool equipment down to extremely low temperatures to improve the sensitivity and accuracy of measurements, especially in deep-space observation and astronomy missions.

4. Quantum Computing

Superfluid helium is used in the cryogenic systems of quantum computers to cool quantum bits (qubits) to extremely low temperatures. The forced flow helps maintain stable, ultra-low-temperature environments that are necessary for quantum coherence and reliable qubit operation.



Introduction or motivation

Where?

5. Ultra-Sensitive Detectors

In experimental physics, such as in the search for dark matter or in neutrino detection, ultra-sensitive detectors operate at cryogenic temperatures. Superfluid helium, forced through these systems, helps maintain the low temperatures required to reduce thermal noise and enhance the precision of these detectors.

6. Superfluid Gyroscopes

Superfluid helium is also used in devices like superfluid gyroscopes, which are extremely sensitive rotation sensors. These gyroscopes can be used in space navigation or precision measurement systems. The forced flow of superfluid helium helps maintain the superfluid state, ensuring the device functions with minimal resistance and maximal sensitivity.

7. Nuclear Fusion Research

In fusion reactor experiments, like those involving Tokamak reactors, the forced flow of superfluid helium is used to cool superconducting coils that create the magnetic fields required to contain high-energy plasma. The extreme low-temperature environment provided by superfluid helium ensures that the coils remain superconducting, allowing stable operation of the reactor.

8. Astrophysics and Space Telescopes

Superfluid helium is employed to cool sensors in space telescopes that observe distant cosmic phenomena, particularly in the infrared spectrum. Forced flow cooling ensures that the detectors maintain low temperatures for long periods, which is necessary for capturing faint astronomical signals.



INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS

- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A
 SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL
- EXPERIMENT
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- CONCLUSIONS





(1/5)





 Image is a constrained of the second of t

The Kitamura model

Assumption : The thermo-mechanical effect, the Gorter-Mellink mutual friction are the dominant terms in the momentum equation

$$s \nabla T = -A \rho_n |\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_s|^2 (\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_s)$$

Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \, \bar{u}) = 0 \quad (1)$$
Momentum equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} = -\rho (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \nabla P - \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{\rho_n \rho_s}{\rho} \left(\frac{s}{A \rho_n |\nabla T|^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla T \, \nabla T \right\}$$
Numerical study of the thermal behavior of an Nb₃Sn high field magnet in He II
S. Pietrowice¹, B. Baudouy⁴
CLub SCA Failling Gar Nert Calls, Nert

$$+\eta \left[\nabla^2 \bar{u} + \frac{1}{3} \nabla (\nabla \bar{u}) - \left(\frac{\rho_s^3 s}{A \rho^3 \rho_n |\nabla T|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left\{ \nabla^2 (\nabla T) + \frac{1}{3} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \nabla) (\nabla T) \right\} \right] + \rho g \sin \theta \quad (2)$$
Energy equation

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\rho c_p (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) T + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{f(T,p) ||\nabla T|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\nabla T) \right\}$$
(3)



(3/5)

Assumption : The thermo-mechanical effect, the Gorter-Mellink mutual friction and pressure gradient are the dominant terms in the momentum equation

$$s \nabla T = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P - A \rho_n |\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_s|^2 (\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_s)$$

Velocity fields v_n et v_s in function of v

$$\bar{u}_{s} = \bar{u} - \frac{\rho_{n}}{\rho} \left(\bar{u}_{n} - \bar{u}_{s} \right) = \bar{u} + \left(\frac{\rho_{n}^{3} s}{\left(A \rho^{3} \rho_{n} \left| -\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$\bar{u}_n = \bar{u} + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho} \left(\bar{u}_n - \bar{u}_s \right) = \bar{u} - \left(\frac{\rho_s^3 s}{A \rho^3 \rho_n \left| -\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T \right).$$

This assumption transforms the two-fluid model into a one-fluid model!







(5/5)

Another model 1D:

NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF FORCED CONVECTION HEAT TRANSFER IN He II

A. Kashani, S. W. Van Sciver & J. C. Strikwerda

Kashani

Fuzier

Pages 213-228 | Received 06 Oct 1988, Accepted 15 Mar 1989, Published online: 27 Apr 2007

 $\rho \bar{u}c_p \frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{f(T)} \frac{dT}{dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + q_V$

$$\stackrel{\cdot}{q} = \left\{ \rho \cdot Cp \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho \cdot u \cdot Cp \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{1}{K(T)} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right\}^{1/3} \right\}$$

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com ScienceDirect Cryogenics 48 (2008) 130–137

CRYOGENICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/cryogenic

Experimental measurements and modeling of transient heat transfer in forced flow of He II at high velocities

S. Fuzier^{a,*}, S.W. Van Sciver^{a,b}

⁸ National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA ^b Mechanical Engineering Department, FAMU-FSU College of Engineering, Tallahassee, FL, USA Received 16 August 2007; received in revised form 16 January 2008; accepted 5 February 2008

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau} + \rho \bar{u} c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \bar{u} \frac{\Delta P}{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{f(T)} \left(\frac{1}{\rho s} \frac{\Delta P}{L} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} + q_V$$

Good compatibility for low and high flows



• STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER

NUMERICAL MODEL

CONCLUSIONS

EXPERIMENT

•

Outline

INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS

GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A

SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL



Experiment -validation

(1/2) - National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University



Operating range: Temperatur bath: 1.7 K Velocity: up to 20 m/s Heat flux – up 8 W/cm²



Fig. 2. Experimental loop for He II forced flow.



Experiment

(2/2)





Fig. 6. Heat transfer in the test section for a flow velocity of 0.52 m/s and for several heat per cross-section area ($T_{\text{bath}} = 1.7 \text{ K}$). The markers correspond to experimental results and the lines represent the numerical solution of the He II heat equation (3).

Fig. 7. Heat transfer in the test section for a flow velocity of 4.14 m/s and for several heat per cross section area ($T_{\text{bath}} = 1.7 \text{ K}$). The markers correspond to experimental results and the lines represent the numerical solution of the He II heat equation (3).





- INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS
- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A
 SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL
- EXPERIMENT
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- CONCLUSIONS





Numerical model

(1/2)

- Equations implemented in **OpenFOAM** software;
- A non linear system with mixed hyperbolic parabolic equation system is solved with high resolution scheme which is a bounded second-order upwind biased discretization
- A SST (Shear Stress Transport) turbulence model was applied for solving the pressure and velocity fields
- The maximum timescale is 10⁻⁶ s with a root-mean-square (RMS) residual target smaller than 10⁻⁷
- Adiabatic condition applied to the pipe walls except at the heating section
- The boundary conditions for perpendicular \perp and tangential \parallel total velocities at the walls

$$u_{\perp} = 0 \text{ and } u_{\parallel} = \left(\frac{\rho_s^3 s}{A \rho^3 \rho_n \left|-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T\right|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho s} \nabla P + \nabla T\right)_{\parallel}$$



Numerical model

(2/2)

- A symmetric conditions are applied at the two sides of the domain wedge
- Constant temperature and velocity at the inlet
- A zero value of average static pressure was applied at the outlet



a) The computation domain with boundary conditions and b) snapshot of mesh at inlet region near the wall



CONCLUSIONS

- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- EXPERIMENT
- SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODEL
- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A
- INTRODUCTION \ MOTIVATIONS



Nrocław University of Science and Technology

Outline

Steady - state heat transfer

Comparison with the NHMFL forced flow experiments

- 1.212 m long straight stainless steel tube of 9.8 mm ID
- Eight temperature sensors and a cold differential pressure transducer along the pipe

Calculation

- Uniform mesh of 2000 nodes along the z-direction
- Heater modeled via volumetric heat source
- Boundary temperatures taken from Fuzier's experiment



Steady - state heat transfer

Low velocity 0.52 m/s





Steady - state heat transfer

High velocity 4.14 m/s





CONCLUSIONS

- STEADY-STATE HEAT TRANSFER
- NUMERICAL MODEL
- SIMPLIFIED TWO-FLUID MODELEXPERIMENT
- GOVERNING EQUATIONS : A





Vrocław University of Science and Technology

Outline

Conclusions

- The new simplified model of forced flow superfluid helium has been proposed. The model assumes that the dominant terms in the superfluid momentum equation are the thermo-mechanical term, the Gorter-Mellink mutual friction and the pressure gradient;
- To demonstrate the stability and capability of three dimensional (3D) model, the model was validated with experimental data obtained during steady heat transfer processes;
- The model reproduces with a good accuracy experimental data , particularly in 'transition' regions where other models are 'failing badly'.

Perspective

- To extend the validation procedure for transient processes;
- Optimizing the calculation processes;

