Non-Prompt J/psi Analysis

PbPb @ 5.02 TeV



Himanshu Sharma

May 05th, 2020

IFJ - ALICE Meetings





- QA for filtered trees
- Analysis on filtered tree and comparison with standard analysis for MC

Motivation : To use Same data samples for ML and standard analysis for MC and Real Data.



Selection Criteria for MC:

Track Cuts

 $\begin{array}{l} p_T \ (1,30) \ {\rm GeV/c} \\ |\eta| < 0.9 \\ |DCA_{xy}| < 1 \\ |DCA_z| < 3 \\ {\rm TPCnClusters} \ (70,160) \\ {\rm Reject \ Kinks} \\ {\rm ITS \ Refit \ Requested} \\ {\rm TPC \ Refit \ Requested} \\ {\rm Requested \ SPD \ any \ layer} \\ {\rm TPC \ } \chi^2 \ (0,1,4) \\ {\rm ITS \ } \chi^2 \ (0,36) \\ {\rm TPCnClsShared \ Ratio} < 0.3 \\ {\rm TPCCrossedRows/FindableCls} \ (0.8,2) \end{array}$

Track Prefilter Cuts

 p_T (0.9,100) GeV/c

Pair Cuts

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm M} \ (2,4) \ {\rm GeV}/c^2 \\ p_T \ (0,100) \ {\rm GeV/c} \\ |\eta| < 0.9 \end{array}$

Pair Prefilter Cuts Mass > 50 MeV/c^2





Selection Criteria for MC:

Track Cuts

 $\begin{array}{l} p_T \ (1,30) \ {\rm GeV/c} \\ |\eta| < 0.9 \\ |DCA_{xy}| < 1 \\ |DCA_z| < 3 \\ {\rm TPCnClusters} \ (70,160) \\ {\rm Reject \ Kinks} \\ {\rm ITS \ Refit \ Requested} \\ {\rm TPC \ Refit \ Requested} \\ {\rm Requested \ SPD \ any \ layer} \\ {\rm TPC \ } \chi^2 \ (0,1,4) \\ {\rm ITS \ } \chi^2 \ (0,36) \\ {\rm TPCnClsShared \ Ratio} < 0.3 \\ {\rm TPCCrossedRows/FindableCls} \ (0.8,2) \end{array}$

Track Prefilter Cuts p_T (0.9,100) GeV/c

Pair Cuts M (2,4) GeV/ c^2 p_T (0,100) GeV/c $|\eta| < 0.9$

Pair Prefilter Cuts Mass > 50 MeV/c^2









DCAxy 1.810665e+07 0.03923 0.03396

Entries Mean ^td Dev



15

20

TPCnSigma Ele



J/psi Reconstruction for MC (Standard vs Filtered)



InvMass_Signal



J/psi Reconstruction for MC (Standard vs Filtered)

- DataSet :
 - MC injected Jpsi (PbPb)
 - 0 10 % (Central)
 - ~2M events
- Same selection criteria applied in both cases.
- In case of filtered tree, I got 50 Jpsi less than standard.
- Difference between both = 0.02 %

Number of Tracks in Filtered Tree and tracks analysed in standard analysis are exactly same.

=> It means the track Selection criteria is exactly same for both cases







J/psi (Signal+BKG) for MC (Standard vs Filtered)



epem





J/psi (Combinatorial Bkg- LikeSign)

- Total Entries :
 - Filtered Tree : 280263
 - DstTree : 280695
 - Difference : 432 counts ~ 0.15%
 - In Signal region (InvMass Window) :
 - FilteredTree:- 43078.7
 - DstTree:- 43133.7
 - Difference : 55 counts ~ 0.13%











Conclusions:



- Track cuts gives the same number of tracks in both cases.
- ~ 0.02% less J/psi yield in the Filtered Tree analysis than standard one.
- ~ 0.15% less background in the Filtered Tree analysis than standard one.
- Reduction of both Signal (50 counts) and Bkg (55 counts) in the Filtered Tree analysis case.
- In my opinion, both the methods agrees with the total number of reconstructed Jpsi.





- PsProper DL x(pT)
- M (pT), M(x)







Differential pair inv. mass projection p_{τ} (GeV/c)t (cm./c)

For Reconstructed True Electron NonPrompt



17





- QA for Data
- Same Comparison for Data
 - There are additional selection for Data (For example: PileUp rejection, PIDpostcalibration)





Backup





J/psi Signal for MC (Standard vs Filtered)





