## CREDO Week Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences Cracow

04 October 2018

# Gravitational Waves – new dimension of multimessenger astronomy

## Marek Biesiada

Department of Astrophysics and Cosmology Institute of Physics, University of Silesia Katowice, Poland





## 2017 Nobel Prize in Physics

"for decisive contributions to the LIGO detector and the observation of gravitational waves".



Rainer Weiss







Barry Barish

## Opening a new window on the Universe

CAMMA BATS	A ANYS UV VISILE INFRANED	MD0
TYPICAL SIZE PROTON WAVELENGTH 10'A 10'A	ATOM RACTERUM TV SIGN	RAL EARTING DAMETER 10 m km km km km
FREQUENCY IS" IS ON ON O	a <sup>n</sup> ta <sup>n</sup> ta <sup>n</sup> ta <sup>n</sup> tan t ta Cina Gina Cina Gina Gina ta	10 100 1 10 0.1 Minu 0.10 010 10 10
	Gamma-Ray (N. Gehrels et.al. GSFC,	
	EGRET, NASA)	
X-Ray 0.25, 0.75, 1.5 keV (S. Digel et. al. GSFC, ROSAT, NASA)	Ultraviolet (). Bonnell et.al.(GSFC), NASA)	Visible (Axel Mellinger)
Contraction of the second		Constant of the second
Infrared (DIRBE Team, COBE, NASA)	Radio 1420MHz (J. Dickey et.al. UMn. NRAO SkyView)	Radio 408MHz (C. Haslam et al., MPI/R, SkyView)

**Credits: Martin Hendry** 

#### THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SPECTRUM



**Credits: Martin Hendry** 

## How can we observe sources?

- For the majority of sources, some knowledge of the nature of the source is required for detection of a signal
- Matched filtering will be the primary tool for extracting tiny, quasi-periodic signals from the data stream
- Techniques such as the excess power method can be used for other sources, or if less is known about the exact nature of the source

Eventually the most interesting road to discover NEW unexpected sources

i.e. we need to understand the detector's noise perfectly

## Search of compact binary coalescence

Source



## Gravitational wave sources

different frequencies, different temporal patterns, different data analysis methods:

- inspiraling sources: compact binary systems (NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH)
- burst sources supernovae, collisions, black hole formations, gamma ray bursts... cosmic strings cusps

• **periodic sources** rotating stars (pulsars), small "mountains", non-uniform density , dynamical instabilities

• stochastic sources early universe, unresolved sources...







#### Quadrupole formula spinning rod $h_{ij}(x,t) = \frac{2}{r} \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} Q_{ij}(ct-r) \qquad Q_{ij}(t) = \int d^3x \ \rho(x,t)(x_i x_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij} x^2)$ ω $L_{GW} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{G}{c^5} \left\langle \frac{d^3 Q_{ij}}{dt^3} \frac{d^3 Q^{ij}}{dt^3} \right\rangle$ $\frac{c^5}{G} = 3.6 \times 10^{52} W = 2.\times 10^5 \frac{M_O c^2}{s}$ Power radiated in GWs dumbbell Μ binary star GW generation is effective $L_{GW} \propto \frac{G}{c^5} M^2 L^4 \omega^6$ dimensions of order r<sub>s</sub> $L_G \propto 4 \left(\frac{r_s}{r}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^6 \frac{c^5}{G}$ velocities of order c $L_G \propto \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{GM}{rc^2}\right)^3$ Other parametrization very strong dependence on the compactness GMKepler's $f_{GW} = -$ 3rd law Upper bound for the frequency $R \ge R_s = \frac{2GM}{2}$ $f_{GW}(M) < \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\star}/2\pi} \frac{c^{2}}{GM} \approx 10^{4} Hz \left(\frac{M_{0}}{M}\right)$



## Gravitational wave sources

different frequencies, different temporal patterns, different data analysis methods:

• inspiraling sources: compact binary systems (NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH)

 burst sources supernovae, collisions, black hole formations, (long) gamma ray bursts... cosmic strings cusps

• **periodic sources** rotating stars (pulsars), small "mountains", non-uniform density , dynamical instabilities

• stochastic sources early universe, unresolved sources...







Spin axis precesses

with frequency f.

### The idea of "standard sirens"



#### for cosmological sources

$$t \to (1+z)t$$

$$f \to \frac{1}{1+z}f$$

$$M_c \to (1+z)M_c$$

$$\frac{df}{dt} \to \frac{1}{(1+z)^2}\frac{df}{dt}$$

The distance inferred is the **luminosty distance** Unfortunately **redshift** cannot be easily measured ! B. Schutz 1986 B.Schutz, A. Królak 1987

Measure the strain h(t) and frequency drift df/dt

$$h = \frac{4\pi^{2/3} (G\mathcal{M})^{5/3}}{c^4 D} f(t)^{2/3} \cos\left[\int_0^t f(t') \,\mathrm{d}t'\right]$$

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{96\pi^{3/3}}{5} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^3}\right)^{5/3} f^{11/3}$$

2 equations for 2 unknowns: "chirp mass" *M* & distance D

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{c^3}{G} \left( \frac{5}{96\pi^{8/3}} \frac{df}{dt} \right)^{3/5} f^{-11/5}$$

$$D = \frac{4c}{\pi^2 h} \frac{df(t)}{dt} f^{-3} \cos\left(\int_0^t f(t') dt'\right)$$

 $D_L = (1+z) D$  <sup>11</sup>

## Advanced LIGO

- A complete redesign and rebuild of the LIGO interferometers
  - 10x more sensitive, 1000x more of the universe probed
- <u>A discovery machine</u> expect 10s of detections per year at design sensitivity for binary neutron stars
- An astronomical observatory gravitational waveforms encode information about the dynamics of cataclysmic events
  - Reveals the universe in ways that electromagnetic astronomy cannot!



#### Comparison: For NS-NS systems -

- O(100) galaxies in initial LIGO range
- O(100,000) galaxies in Advanced LIGO range



LIGO opens new window on the universe with observation of gravitational waves from colliding black holes

#### LIGO PRESS RELEASE



#### Poor localization for LIGO alone



LIGO opens new window on the universe with observation of gravitational waves from colliding black holes







#### GW 170817 – New era of multimessenger astronomy

Selected for a Viewpoint in *Physics* PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

PRL 119, 161101 (2017)

#### week ending 20 OCTOBER 2017

#### S

#### **GW170817:** Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral

B. P. Abbott et al.\*

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration)

(Received 26 September 2017; revised manuscript received 2 October 2017; published 16 October 2017)

On August 17, 2017 at 12:41:04 UTC the Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo gravitational-wave detectors made their first observation of a binary neutron star inspiral. The signal, GW170817, was detected with a combined signal-to-noise ratio of 32.4 and a false-alarm-rate estimate of less than one per  $8.0 \times 10^4$  years. We infer the component masses of the binary to be between 0.86 and 2.26  $M_{\odot}$ , in agreement with masses of known neutron stars. Restricting the component spins to the range inferred in binary neutron stars, we find the component masses to be in the range 1.17–1.60  $M_{\odot}$ , with the total mass of the system  $2.74^{+0.04}_{-0.01}M_{\odot}$ . The source was localized within a sky region of 28 deg<sup>2</sup> (90% probability) and had a luminosity distance of  $40^{+8}_{-14}$  Mpc, the closest and most precisely localized gravitational-wave signal yet. The association with the  $\gamma$ -ray burst GRB 170817A, detected by Fermi-GBM 1.7 s after the coalescence, corroborates the hypothesis of a neutron star merger and provides the first direct evidence of a link between these mergers and short  $\gamma$ -ray bursts. Subsequent identification of transient counterparts across the electromagnetic spectrum in the same location further supports the interpretation of this event as a neutron star merger. This unprecedented joint gravitational and electromagnetic observation provides insight into astrophysics, dense matter, gravitation, and cosmology.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101

GW170817 – new dimension of multimessenger astronomy

On August 17 2017 at 12:41:04 UTC Advanced LIGO/Virgo detectors registered for the first time NS-NS coalescence signal









Figure 2 | LCO discovery image of the kilonova AT 2017gfo in the galaxy

NGC 4993. The w-band LCO image (right), centered on NGC 4993, clearly

shows a new source (marked with white ticks) compared to an archival image

(left) taken on 1992 April 9 with the RG610 filter as part of the AAO-SES survey,

retrieved via the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS). LCO – Las Cumbres Observatory; CTIO – Cerro Tololo Inter-American 20 Observatory https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa9111



#### The Rapid Reddening and Featureless Optical Spectra of the Optical Counterpart of GW170817, AT 2017gfo, during the First Four Days

Curtis McCully<sup>1,2</sup>, Daichi Hiramatsu<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Andrew Howell<sup>1,2</sup>, Griffin Hosseinzadeh<sup>1,2</sup>, Iair Arcavi<sup>1,2,19</sup>, Daniel Kasen<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Jennifer Barnes<sup>6,19</sup>, Michael M. Shara<sup>7,8</sup>, Ted B. Williams<sup>9</sup>, Petri Väisänen<sup>9,10</sup>, Stephen B. Potter<sup>9</sup>, Encarni Romero-Colmenero<sup>9,10</sup>, Steven M. Crawford<sup>9,10</sup>, David A. H. Buckley<sup>9,10</sup>, Jeffery Cooke<sup>11,12,13</sup>, Igor Andreoni<sup>11,13,14</sup>, Tyler A. Pritchard<sup>11</sup>, Jirong Mao<sup>15,16,17</sup>, Mariusz Gromadzki<sup>18</sup>, and Jamison Burke<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Spectroscopic evolution of the KN optical counterpart – from blue to red





KN models predict opacity driven by r-processes elements:

Sensitive to lanthanides/actinides abundance

Value	Reference		
$1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002}M_{\odot}$	1		
$1.36 - 1.60 M_{\odot}$ (90%, low spin prior)	1		
$1.17 - 1.36 M_{\odot}$ (90%, low spin prior)	1		
$\approx 2.74_{-0.01}^{0.04} M_{\odot}$	1		
$11 - 33^{\circ} (68.3\%)$	2		
$\approx 0.01 - 0.02 M_{\odot}$	e.g., 3,4,5		
$\approx 0.04 M_{\odot}$	e.g., 3,5,6		
$\approx 0.05 - 0.06 M_{\odot}$			
$\approx 0.01 M_{\odot}$			
$\sim 100 - 200 M_{\oplus}$	8		
$\sim 30 - 60 M_{\oplus}$	8		
$10^{49} - 10^{50} \text{ erg}$	e.g., 9, 10, 11, 12		
$10^{-4} - 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	e.g., 9, 10, 11, 12		
	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		

Table 1: Key Properties of GW170817

(1) LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. 2017c; (2) depends on Hubble Constant, LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. 2017d; (3) Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; (4) Nicholl et al. 2017; (5) Kasen et al. 2017; (6) Chornock et al. 2017; (8) assuming heavy r-process (A > 140) yields distributed as solar abundances (Arnould et al., 2007); (9)Margutti et al. 2017; (10) Troja et al. 2017; (11) Fong et al. 2017; (12) Hallinan et al. 2017

## **ET Design Study**



## **Einstein Telescope**

- Increased sensitivity great expectations
- Big catalogs of inspiral events at cosmological distances

**10<sup>3</sup>** - **10<sup>8</sup>** events per yr distances up to 2 Gpc (z=17)

Some of them would be gravitationally lensed



Figure 6: Three nested detectors in a triangular arrangement will form the final Einstein Telescope geometry.

#### **Gravitational Lensing**

Spherically symmetric lens model – the simplest realistic case

Einstein radius (determined by mass !) - defines characteristic angular scale

$$\mathcal{G}_{E} = \sqrt{\frac{4GM_{E}}{c^{2}}} \frac{D_{ls}}{D_{s}D_{l}}$$



Two images form on the opposite side of the lens

Source Time delay between images  $D_l D_s g_E \beta$  $2(1+z_l)$  $\Delta t =$  $D_{l}$ C Two lensing regimes: Lens. Strong: multiple images time delays between images – (a method to measure  $H_0$ ) Weak: image distortion Observer Ô.

7

### **Discussed in papers**

#### ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

#### Strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves in Einstein Telescope

#### Aleksandra Piórkowska,<sup>a</sup> Marek Biesiada<sup>a</sup> and Zong-Hong Zhu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Astrophysics and Cosmology, Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Universityecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

<sup>b</sup>Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

#### A. Piórkowska et al. JCAP10(2013)022

ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

Strong gravitational lensing of gravitational waves from double compact binaries — perspectives for the Einstein Telescope

Marek Biesiada,  $^{a,b}$  Xuheng Ding,  $^a$  Aleksandra Piórkowska  $^b$  and Zong-Hong  ${\rm Zhu}^a$ 

#### M. Biesiada et al. JCAP10(2014)080

#### ournal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics

#### Strongly lensed gravitational waves from intrinsically faint double compact binaries — prediction for the Einstein Telescope

#### Xuheng Ding,<sup>a</sup> Marek Biesiada<sup>a,b,c</sup> and Zong-Hong Zhu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
<sup>b</sup>Department of Astrophysics and Cosmology, Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland
<sup>c</sup>Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China, CAS, Beijing 100190, China
E-mail: dingxuheng@mail.bnu.edu.cn, marek.biesiada@us.edu.pl, zhuzh@bnu.edu.cn

#### X. Ding et al. JCAP12(2015)006

#### 50 – 100 lensed events per yr

#### ARTICLE

#### DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01152-9

#### OPEN

#### Benefits from just a dozen of lensed EM + GW signals

## Precision cosmology from future lensed gravitational wave and electromagnetic signals

Kai Liao<sup>1,2</sup>, Xi-Long Fan<sup>3</sup>, Xuheng Ding<sup>1,4,5</sup>, Marek Biesiada<sup>4,6</sup> & Zong-Hong Zhu<sup>1,4</sup>

The standard siren approach of gravitational wave cosmology appeals to the direct luminosity distance estimation through the waveform signals from inspiralling double compact binaries, especially those with electromagnetic counterparts providing redshifts. It is limited by the calibration uncertainties in strain amplitude and relies on the fine details of the waveform. The Einstein telescope is expected to produce 10<sup>4</sup>-10<sup>5</sup> gravitational wave detections per year, 50-100 of which will be lensed. Here, we report a waveform-independent strategy to achieve precise cosmography by combining the accurately measured time delays from strongly lensed gravitational wave signals with the images and redshifts observed in the electromagnetic domain. We demonstrate that just 10 such systems can provide a Hubble constant uncertainty of 0.68% for a flat lambda cold dark matter universe in the era of third-generation ground-based detectors.



Table 2 The average constraining power of 10 lensed gravitational wave + electromagnetic systems											
	Flat $\Lambda CDM$ ( $\Omega_M$ fixed) $H_0$	Flat ACDM		Flat @CDM		Open ACDM					
		Ho	$\Omega_{M}$	Ho	$\Omega_{M}$	w	Ho	$\Omega_{\rm M}$	$\Omega_k$		
Uncertainty	0.37%	0.68%	27%	2.2%	36%	25%	196	38%	±0.18		

We concerns cosmological parameters in different scenarios: flat lambda cold dark matter (Flat ACDM) with or without dimensionless matter density  $\Omega_M$  fixed, flat wCDM where the dark energy equation of state  $\omega$  is a free parameter, and open ACDM where cosmic curvature  $\Omega_k$  is a free parameter. For the same number of lensed quasars, the power is weaker by a factor of ~4 according to the uncertainty propagation using Eq. (1) and Table 1

### Interesting application of lensed GW signals

PRL 118, 091102 (2017)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 3 MARCH 2017

#### Speed of Gravitational Waves from Strongly Lensed Gravitational Waves and Electromagnetic Signals

Xi-Long Fan,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Kai Liao,<sup>3</sup> Marek Biesiada,<sup>4,5</sup> Aleksandra Piórkowska-Kurpas,<sup>4</sup> and Zong-Hong Zhu<sup>1,5,†</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China <sup>2</sup>Departments of Physics and Mechanical & Electrical Engineering, Hubei University of Education, Wuhan 430205, China <sup>3</sup>School of Science, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China



#### **General Idea**

Classically GW propagates with the speed of light c, but in some theories of modified gravity it can propagate with  $v_{GW}$  different from c

In a strongly lensed event time delays between images in GW  $\Delta t_{GW}$  and in EM  $\Delta t_{\gamma}$  would be different.

$$\Delta t_{\rm SIS,GW} - \Delta t_{\rm SIS,\gamma} = \Delta t_{\rm SIS,\gamma} \frac{m_{\rm GW}^2 c^4}{E^2} F_{\rm lens}(z_l, z_s),$$

where

with the notation

$$F_{\text{lens}}(z_l, z_s) = 1 + \frac{(1+z_s)I_2(0, z_s)}{2\tilde{r}(z_l, z_s)} \qquad I_n(z_1, z_2) \coloneqq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{dz'}{(1+z')^n h(z')},$$
$$-\frac{(1+z_l)I_2(0, z_l)}{2\tilde{r}(z_l)} - \frac{(1+z_l)I_2(0, z_l)}{\tilde{r}(z_l, r_s)}.$$

timing accuracy

$$1 - \left(\frac{v_{GW}}{c}\right)^2 \le \frac{\delta T}{\Delta t_{\gamma} F_{\text{lens}}(z_l, z_s)} \qquad 1 - \left(\frac{v_{GW}}{c}\right)^2 \le 4.26 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{\delta T}{1 \text{ ms}}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma}{250 \text{ km/s}}\right)^{-4} \\ \times \left(\frac{y}{0.1}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (20)$$

$$\Delta t_{\rm SIS,GW} - \Delta t_{\rm SIS,\gamma} = \Delta t_{\rm SIS,\gamma} \frac{m_{\rm GW}^2 c^4}{E^2} F_{\rm lens}(z_l, z_s),$$

11 . 11/0

where



$$F_{\text{lens}}(z_l, z_s) = 1 + \frac{(1+z_s)I_2(0, z_s)}{2\tilde{r}(z_l, z_s)} - \frac{(1+z_l)I_2(0, z_l)}{2\tilde{r}(z_l)} - \frac{(1+z_l)I_2(0, z_l)}{\tilde{r}(z_l, r_s)}.$$

$$I_n(z_1, z_2) \coloneqq \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{dz'}{(1+z')^n h(z')},$$

General bound:



## Lorentz Invariance Violating theories

Long searched-for Quantum Gravity is often expected to provide "foamy space-time" at short distances

i.e. a possible breakdown of Lorentz invariance could be expected

The simplest manifestation of QG phenomenology – energy dependent relativistic dispersion relation, e.g.

$$E^2 - p^2 c^2 = \epsilon E^2 \left(\frac{E}{\xi_n E_{QG}}\right)^n$$

for photons



for massive particles

**Time of flight approach**: (Amelino-Camelia, Ellis, Sarkar, Piran ...) Measure energy dependent time delays from astrophysical sources

bonus: astronomical / cosmological distances compensate for weakness of the LIV effect – time delay is a cumulative (integral) effect

problems: intrinsic E-dependent time delays in emission, transparency of the Universe to high E photons

#### sources:

Blazars (BL Lac AGNs) – e.g. Mkn 501 – 20 TeV photons detected (rather close extragalactic sources)

Gamma Ray Bursts highly energetic events, TeV photons and neutrinos expected to be produced (visible from much higher distances) 0.5< z <6 cosmological background has to be taken into account



#### How to get rid of intrinsic time lags?

Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 396, 946-950 (2009)

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14748x

## Gravitational lensing time delays as a tool for testing Lorentz-invariance violation

Marek Biesiada\* and Aleksandra Piórkowska\*

Department of Astrophysics and Cosmology Institute of Physics, University of Skesia, Universitecha 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

Accepted 2009 March 6 . Received 2009 March 6; in original form 2009 January 20



Observing time delays between images in two energy bands low-energy (classic) vs. VHE photons,

we would see a difference in time delays

$$\Delta t_{LIV,SIS} - \Delta t_{SIS} = \frac{8\pi}{H_0} \beta \frac{\sigma^2}{c^2} \frac{E}{E_{QG}} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')dz'}{H(z')}$$

 $3.7 \times 10^{-9} s$  for 5 TeV $1.5 \times 10^{-8} s$  for 20 TeV

#### Advantages:

•it gets rid of the intrinsic time delay effect (differential setting)

•it could be assessed in two completely independent (although coordinated !) observational campaigns – one at low energy and the other at high energy

Conclusion:

Era of GW astronomy has begun

This creates great opportunities for multimessenger astronomy

New perspectives for gravitational lensing

Stay tuned !