

An update on systematics at higher ILC energies

I. Smiljanić, T. Jovin, I. Božović–Jelisavčić, M. Pandurović







- Forward region of the ILC, Luminosity Calorimeter
- Luminosity measurement
- Systematics: energy resolution; 2-γ background; space charge effects
- Total systematics
- Summary

İİĹ



Luminometer at ILC





iii.

Distance from IP [m]	2.5
Geometrical aperture [mrad]	[31-78]
Fiducial volume [mrad]	[38-69]
Number of layers	30 W/Si
Moliere radus [cm]	1.5
Sensor azimuthal/radial divisions	48/64
Resolution in polar angle [mrad]	(2.2±0.02) 10 ⁻²
Energy resolution [GeV ^{1/2}]	0.21
HEP FROVP VIH(X	I. Smil



Silicon sensor half plane



Luminosity measurement

Integrated luminosity can be determined from the total number of Bhabha events produced in the acceptance region/fiducial volume of the luminosity calorimeter and the corresponding theoretical cross-section

IT IS COUNTING EXPERIMENT, BUT...



1. To build a device capable of precise reconstruction of E, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

2. To control (other) systematics

When taken as correction, an effect ⓒ gives contribution to ᢀL/L of ⓒ 쇼 ⓒ where 쇼 ⓒ is relative (experimental) uncertainty of ⓒ

Event selection

- the polar angle of the reconstructed shower must be within the detector fiducial volume at one side and within $\begin{bmatrix} \theta_{\min}^f + 4mrad, \theta_{\max}^f 7mrad \end{bmatrix}$ at the other.
- total energy deposited in the LumiCal must be more than 80% of the center-of-mass energy



Should we worry about efficiency?

ollaboration



C Should we worry about efficiency?

old geometry, physical volume (25-87 mrad) - Bogdan's comment on efficiency drop with asymmetric selection within fiducial volume.

However, $| \otimes L/L |_{stat}$ stays OK.



1,4x10 AL/L 1,2x10 1,0x10 8,0x10 6,0x10 ער/ר| 4,0x10 2,0x10 0,0 -2.0x10 -4,0x10 1.6x10⁻² 2.4x10⁻² 3.2x10⁻² 4.0x10⁻² 0,0 8,0x10⁻³ θ (mrad)

Other systematics

The polar resolution of LumiCal is $\bullet_{\Box} = (2.2 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-2}$ mrad (\Box_{res} on the plot). For this value of polar resolution, $| \circledast L/L |= 1.6^{*}10^{-4}$. Smearing the value of polar angle around that value shows that $| \circledast L/L |$ stays at the 10⁻⁴ level even if we have a 100% uncertainty of \bullet_{\Box} .







old geometry, physical volume (25-87 mrad): for declared energy resolution of $\mathfrak{O}_{res}(\mathfrak{A} \mathsf{E}) = 21\%$, $|\mathfrak{P}L/L| = 2.32*10^{-4}$.

new geometry, fiducial volume (38-69 mrad): for declared energy resolution of $\Im_{res}=21\%$, $|@L/L|=2.18*10^{-4}$ for 500 GeV and $|@L/L|=2.92*10^{-4}$ for 1 TeV, so NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGES



Other systematics – 2-γ background





Multiperipheral

High x-sec ~10s nb, spectators close to the beam pipe – less than 1% of spectators in the LumiCal

Problem with difference between BDK and WHIZARD is solved – it is possible to tune WHIZARD to reproduce LEP experimental results for eecc cross-section.

B/S ratio saturates within the same order of magnitude (10⁻³) at ILC energies - B/S=2.3 10^{-3} at 500 GeV and B/S = 5.2 10^{-3} at 1 TeV



Other systematics $-2-\gamma$ background





ΪĻ

HEP

Background hits on the front plane before and after selection applied



Other systematics – space charge effects



Beam-beam interactions

- Modification of initial state: Beamstrahlung
 ⇒ √s'≤√s, ♥□_{ini}≠ 0, E_{elec}≠ E_{posit}
- Modification of final state: Electromagnetic deflection ⇒ Bhabha angle reduction (~10⁻² mrad) + small energy losses

Total BHabha Suppression Effect (BHSE) ~1.5%



On experimental determination on BHSE uncertainty see Ivanka's talk at LCWS10 and also 'Impact of beam-beam effects on precision luminosity measurements at the ILC, C. Rimbault et al., JINST 2:P09001,2007'





Total systematics



TOTAL SYSTEMATICS at 500 GeV

	Value	Uncertainty of the	∆L/L
P	0.4		F 4 40 4
X-Sec _{Bhabha} [ND]	2.1	5.4 10-4	5.4 10-4
$\sigma_{ heta}$ [mrad]	2.2 10 ⁻²	100%*	1.6 10 ⁻⁴
∆θ [mrad]	3.2 10 ⁻³	100%*	1.6 10 ⁻⁴
α _{res} [GeV ^{-1/2}]	0.21	1.5%	1.0 10 ⁻⁴
E _{Bhabha} [GeV]	≥ 200	2.0 10 ⁻⁴	1.0 10 ⁻⁴
B/S	2.3 10 ⁻³	100%*	2.3 10 ⁻³
BHSE	1.51%	9.9%***	1.5 10 ⁻³
Σ			2.8 10 ⁻³

* marks upper limits.

** Uncertainty of the theoretical cross-section for Bhabha at LEP energies [OPAL, G.

Abiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J C14(2000)373].

*** 5% control of buch x and z sizes.









TOTAL SYSTEMATICS at 1 TeV- very preliminary

	Value	Uncertainty of the value	∆L/L
x-sec _{Bhabha} [nb]	1.1	5.4 10 ^{-4 **}	5.4 10 ⁻⁴
σ _θ [mrad]	2.2 10 ⁻²	100%	2.9 10 ⁻⁴
∆θ [mrad]	3.2 10 ⁻³	100%*	1.6 10 ⁻⁴
α _{res} [GeV ^{-1/2}]	0.21	6%	1.0 10 ⁻⁴
E _{Bhabha} [GeV]	≥ 4 00	1.7 10 ⁻⁴	1.0 10 ⁻⁴
B/S	5.2 10 ⁻³	5.2 10 ⁻³	5.2 10 ⁻³
BHSE	6%	9.9%***	5.9 10 ⁻³
Σ			7,9 10 ⁻³

* assuming the same as at 500 GeV

İİĻ

HEP

** Uncertainty of the theoretical cross-section for Bhabha at LEP energies [OPAL, G.

Abiendi et al., Eur. Phys. J C14(2000)373].

*** 5% control of buch x and z sizes, same error control as at 500 GeV.







SUMMARY ON SYSTEMATICS

İİL

•Test beam studies are needed to determine experimental uncertainties of effects that should be taken as corrections (i.e. bias in polar angle).

- Precision determination of Bhabha energy and understanding of detector energy resolution is necessary due to the applied (energy dependent) selection.
- NLO calculations at ILC energies are needed both for Bhabha and background processes. Could be as large as 40% (at LEP energies).

Dominant effects come from beam-beam interaction (BHSE) and 2-γ processes. Both can be corrected for. In BHSE case the correction is large and require beam parameter control at 20% level or better (BS component), while uncertainty in physics background comes from the error on x-section.

•Systematic effects amount to 2.8•10-3 systematic uncertainty in luminosity at the upper limit at 500 GeV and ... at 1 TeV (very preliminary),











Backup





Bhabha suppression effect as a function of energy, keeping all optical parameters constant. The contributions due to beamstrahlung (thin line) and electromagnetic deflections (dashed line) are shown as well as the combined effect (top thick line) – taken from 'Impact of beam-beam effects on precision luminosity measurements at the ILC, C. Rimbault et al., JINST 2:P09001,2007'.

