

kvi - center for advanced radiation technology



### **New XYZ results from BESIII**

#### Myroslav Kavatsyuk

KVI – Center for Advanced Radiation Technology, University of Groningen

#### For the BESIII collaboration



### **Hadron Landscape**

#### Hadron-physics challenges:

- Understanding of established states
- Nature of exotic states



To complete the Hadron-physics puzzle we have to:

- find pieces (discover states, identify symmetry properties);
- understand relation between the pieces (study transitions)

between the states).

2

### **Discoveries Come Unexpected...**





**Initial idea:** Try to populate directly one of the known, but not well understood state **Y(4260)**.

**Realization:** Tune e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> BEPCII collider to 4260 MeV ...

... and measure decay products with the BESIII detector...

... check if there are transitions to known states (e.g.  $J/\Psi$ )...

... direct transitions or via intermediate resonances...

States can be directly populated in annihilation e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

## **BESIII Detector**

#### 1.0 Tesla super-conducting magnet

e<sup>+</sup>

Be beam pipe

B€SⅢ

4

**Muon counters:** 

9/8 RPC layers (barrel/endcaps) Cut-off momentum: 0.4 GeV/c

CsI(TI) ElectroMagnetic Calorimeter:  $\sigma_{E}/E$  (at 1 GeV): 2.5 %  $\sigma_{z,\phi}$  (at 1 GeV): 6 mm

**Time Of Flight** (TOF):  $\sigma_{T}$ : 100/110 ps (barrel/endcaps)

Drift chambers (MDC):  $\sigma_p/p$  (at 1 GeV): 0.5 %  $\sigma_{dE/dx}$ : 6 %

e

M. Ablikim et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 614 (2010) 345–399

#### Discovered Z<sub>c</sub> states at BESIII



**B€**SⅢ

#### Linking exotics together: transition between Y(4260) and X(3872)



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X(3872) \rightarrow \gamma J/\Psi \pi^+\pi^-$ 

- The X(3872) signal is clearly observed: significance  $6.3\sigma$
- Cross-section hints radiative transition between Y(4260) and X(3872)
- Existence of transitions between Y(4260) X(3872) and Z<sub>c</sub> states suggest that there might be some commonality in the nature of these three different states
- Assuming that measured transition is from Y(4260):

 $\frac{B(Y(4260) \to \gamma X(3872))}{B(Y(4260) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)} \sim 0.1$ 

### Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) Quantum Numbers

 $e^+e^- → (D^*D^*)^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ √s = 4.23 and 4.26 GeV

Fits to  $|\cos\theta|$  distributions for  $\pi^+D^0D^0$  – tagged events





- M =  $(3881.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.6)$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Γ = (26.6±2.0±2.1) MeV [Phys. Rev. D 92, 092006 (2015)]

#### **Reconstruction method:**

Complete reconstruction of decay

Spin-parity of Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) 1<sup>+</sup>

Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) Quantum Numbers (PWA)

B€SⅢ

Complete PWA of Zc(3900) in  $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi~$  at  $_{\rm \sqrt{s}=4.23~GeV}$  and  $_{\rm \sqrt{s}=4.26~GeV}$ 



Significance of 1<sup>+</sup> hypothesis over other quantum numbers

Hypothesis	$\Delta(-2\ln L)$	significance
$1^+$ over $0^-$	94.0	$12.0\sigma$
$1^+$ over $1^-$	158.3	$16.3\sigma$
$1^+$ over $2^-$	151.9	$15.9\sigma$
$1^+$ over $2^+$	96.0	$12.1\sigma$

#### Polar and helicity angle distributions in



Spin-parity of Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) 1<sup>+</sup>

# Evidence of $Z_c(3900)^{\pm} \rightarrow \rho^{\pm}\eta_c$







• Strong evidence of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi Z_c, Z_c \rightarrow \rho \eta_c$ at 4.23 GeV (significance 4.3 $\sigma$ )

₿€SШ

 Transitions via Z(4020) are not observed

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Measured R}_{Z(3900)} \mbox{ ratio} \\ \mbox{is a sensitive test:} \\ \mbox{} \mbox{} \\ \mbox{} \\ \mbox{} \\ \mbox{} \\ \mbox{} \mbox{} \\ \mbox{} \\$ 

- lower than type-I tetra-quarks model (two orders)
- larger than type-II tetra-quarks model calculation (one order)
- larger than the molecule model calculation(one to two orders)

preliminary

### **Discoveries Come Unexpected...**





#### Direct formation of 1<sup>--</sup> states allows us to study in details Y states

In the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ we discovered exotic matter...

# Will the energy scan of the Y state reveal new structures?

States can be directly populated in annihilation e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>



Simultaneous fit of two independent data sets ("XYZ" and "Scan") revealed two resonances:

- Known Y(4260)?, M = (4222.0 $\pm$ 3.1 $\pm$ 1.4) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $\Gamma$  = (44.1 $\pm$ 4.3 $\pm$ 2.0) MeV
- Y(4360)?  $M = (4320.0\pm10.4\pm7) \text{ MeV/c}^2 \Gamma = (101.4\pm25\pm10) \text{ MeV}$
- Improved measurements for Y(4260)?
- Y(4360) observed for the first time in  $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\Psi$ , seen by Belle and BABAR in  $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Psi$ (2S)
- No hints for Y(4008) seen by Belle

### Scan of Y states



 $e^+e^- o \pi^+\pi^-h_c$ 

[Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 092002 (2017)]



Simultaneous fit of two independent data sets ("XYZ" and "Scan") revealed two resonances:

- Y(4220): M = (4218.0±5±0.9) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $\Gamma$  = (66±12±0.4) MeV
- Y(4390): M = (4391.5±6.8±1.0) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $\Gamma$  = (139.5±20±0.6) MeV
- The parameters of these structures are different from those of Y(4260), Y(4360) and  $\Psi$ (4415)
- Y (4220) consistent with the resonance observed in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c0}$

# Scan of Y states $e^+e^- ightarrow \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-}$



Fit to the dressed cross sections



Fit reveals two resonances (significance  $>10\sigma$ ):

- Y(4220): M = (4224.8±5.6±4) MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Γ = (72.3±9.1±0.9) MeV
- Y(4390): M = (4400.1±9.3±2.1) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>  $\Gamma$  = (181.7±16.9±7.4) MeV
- The parameters of observed structures consistent with ones seen in
  - Y(4220): π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>h<sub>c</sub>, π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>J/Ψ, ωχ<sub>c0</sub>
  - Y(4390): π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>h<sub>c</sub>
- The mass of Y(4220) is lower by about 30 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> than that of the Y(4260), but consistent with the prediction of DD<sub>1</sub> molecule interpretation within errors
- Assuming that Y(4220) is the same resonance as the Y(4260) the  $\pi^+D_0D^{*-}$  could be the dominant decay channel of the Y (4260) 13

# Scan of Y states $e^+e^- o \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3686)$



Assuming 1<sup>+</sup> charmonium-like state fit yields:

- M =  $(4032.1 \pm 2.4)$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Γ = (26.1 ± 5.3) MeV

[Phys. Rev. D 96, 032004 (2017)]

A prominent narrow structure observed in  $\pi\Psi(3686)$  mass spectrum for  $\sqrt{s}=4.416$  GeV



**Does the same structure exists in the neutral channel?** 



- The measured Born cross sections: ~half of those for  $\pi^{\pm}\Psi(3686)$  (as expected)
- The Dalitz distributions of π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup>Ψ(3686) are consistent with those in π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>Ψ(3686) for all energy points
- Observed structure at M = (4038.7 ± 6.5) MeV/c<sup>2</sup> confirms one seen in the charged mode:
  - the fit curve does not match the data perfectly
  - A future larger statistics sample of data could lead to a better understanding of the structure.

### Scan of Y states



# $e^+e^- o p ar{p} \pi^0$

[Phys. Lett. B 771, 45-51 (2017)]

Searches for new decay modes of the Y(4260) may shed light on its nature



Hybrid model predicts a sizeable coupling between the Y(4260) and charmless decays.

Not observed: upper limit for Born cross section 0.01 pb at 90% C.L.

### Scan of Y states

# $e^+e^- \to K\bar{K}J/\psi$

[Phys. Rev. D 97, 071101 (2018)]

#### Searches for new decay modes of the Y states

- So far no conclusive evidence for a Y(4260) decay (from cross-section measurements)
- Few of the cross section measurements hint a more complex pattern than just the production of a Y (4260).

Cross-section ratio for two independent data sets



- σ<sub>E</sub>(K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> J/Ψ)/σ<sub>E</sub>(π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> J/Ψ)
   inconsistent with flat ratio (3.5σ significance) at 4.226 4.358 GeV
   Y(4260) as defined by π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>J/Ψ inconsistent with K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> J/Ψ
- More complex structure observed at ~4.6 GeV

### **Hadron Landscape**



#### Do exotic states exist in strangeness sector?



### Y(2175) - strange tetraquark?

# ₿€SШ

#### Y(2175):

- behaves similarly to the Y(4260) (charm sector) and the Y(10860) (bottom sector)
- is regarded as candidate for a tetraquark state a strangeonium hybrid state or a conventional ss state
- was observed in direct e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilations and in J/ $\Psi \rightarrow \eta Y(2175)$
- has inconsistencies in previous mass and width measurements

#### Do we see Y(2175) at BESIII?



# Y(2175) @ BESIII

#### [arXiv:1709.04323]

#### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → ηY(2175) @ √S>3.7 GeV



 $Y(2175) - observed at all energy points in <math>Y(2175) \rightarrow \phi f_0(980)$  decay:

- M =  $(2135 \pm 8 \pm 9) \text{ MeV/c}^2$
- $\Gamma$  = (104 ± 24 ± 12) MeV

 – consistent with previous measurements, and the width tends to be larger but similar with the results of Belle and BESIII

# Y(2175) @ BESIII



[arXiv:1709.04323]





**Cross section varies with c.m. energy as:** 

 $1/s^n$  with  $n = 2.65 \pm 0.86$ 

- can be compared with measurements of other vector-pseudoscalar final states and theoretical calculations
- deviation from the behavior of final states – may reveal the nature
- no obvious signal of a potential charged strangeonium-like state  $Z_{_{S}} \to \phi \pi$  is observed

# Search for Z<sub>s</sub> state



Search for analogous to  $Z_c$  structure seen in Y(4260)  $\rightarrow J/\Psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

#### $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+\pi^-$ @ $\sqrt{S}=2.125 \text{ GeV}$



[arXiv:1801.10384]

No significant signal is observed...

### Summary

- BESIII collaboration performs systematic studies of XYZ states in charm and strange sectors to reveal their nature
- Several  $Z_c$  states are established in open-charm region
  - Decay rates to open- and hidden-charm states are measured and are not consistent with conventional open-charm mesons (sensitive probe to discriminate between theoretical models)
- Hadron and radiative transitions are observed between Y and Z, and Y and X states, respectively
- Measurement of Born cross-section for different channels in the region between 4 and 4.6 GeV reveal complex structures and new Y states

# **BESIII at BEPC-II**





# **Hadron Matter**

Colour-neutral states allowed by QCD



BESI

### **XYZ States, Nomenclature**



Conventional quarkonium (cc̄), meson molecule (cq̄ + c̄q), tetraquark (cc̄qq̄), hybrid state (cc̄ + g ...) et.al.



### More Mysteries of Z<sub>c</sub>(3900)



√s = 4.23 GeV



Search for  $\textbf{Z}_{c}(3900) \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \omega$ 

# There are three important decay modes for charmonium-like states:

- the fall-apart to open charm mesons;
- the cascade to hidden charm mesons;
- decays to light hadrons via intermediate gluons.

Since  $Z_c(3900)$  decays to  $J/\Psi\pi$ , a sizeable annihilation rate could be expected with  $\bar{c}c$  in S – wave (as for  $\chi_c$ )

No significant signal observed:  $\Gamma(Z_c(3900) \rightarrow \omega \pi) < 0.2\% \Gamma(Z_c(3900))$ 

Annihilation to cc is suppressed?

[Phys. Rev. D 92, 032009 (2015)]

### **Y** states: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\Psi$

Energy-dependent cross-section compared to Belle data obtained in:  $\eta J/\Psi$  and  $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\Psi$ 



- Agree with previous results with improved precision.
- Non-trivial structure around 4.2 GeV: This could indicate the existence of a rich spectrum of Y states in this energy region with different coupling strengths to the various decay modes.

[Phys. Rev. D 91, 112005 (2015)]

### Scan of Y states



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{c0}$ 

[Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 092003 (2015)]

#### 100 80 🔶 Data $σ(e^+e^- → ω\chi_{c_0})$ (pb) Resonance 60 ---- Phase Space 40 20 0 -20 -40<sup>□</sup> 4.15 4.35 4.2 4.25 4.3 4.4 4.45 4.5 $\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)

#### **Energy-dependent cross-section**

Resonance structure is observed (significance > 9σ)! Assuming single BW:

•  $M = (4230\pm8\pm6) \text{ MeV/c}^2$ 

- Inconsistent with Y(4260) from  $\pi\pi J/\Psi$
- No significant signals for  $e^+e^- \to \omega \chi_{\text{C1,2}}$

# The Z<sub>c</sub>(3900)<sup>±</sup>





#### **Discovered by BESIII, promptly confirmed by:**



Belle: [Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 252002 (2013)] M =  $3894.5 \pm 6.6 \pm 4.5 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  $\Gamma = 63 \pm 24 \pm 26 \text{ MeV}$ 

CLEO-c data: [Phys. Lett. B 727, 366 (2013)]

# The Z<sub>c</sub>(3900)<sup>o</sup>



Structure is seen in  $\pi^0 J/\Psi$  (10  $\sigma$  significance):

- M =  $(3894.8\pm2.3\pm3.2)$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Γ = (29±8.2±8.2) MeV
   [Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 112003 (2015)]

Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) – four-quark isospin triplet?

**B**€S∏

### Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) Decay Rates







M = (3883.9±1.5±4.2) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
Γ = (24.8±3.3±11) MeV
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 022001 (2014)]

#### **Reconstruction method:**

- Reconstruct  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and  $D^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \to K^{\scriptscriptstyle -} \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$
- Infer D<sup>\*-</sup>
- Analyse as well π<sup>+</sup>D<sup>-</sup>D<sup>\*0</sup>
- Is found structure (referred as Z<sub>c</sub>(3885)) different decay mode of the Z<sub>c</sub>(3900)?
  - Z<sub>c</sub>(3900)<sup>±</sup> properties:
    - M = (3899.0±3.6±4.9) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
    - Γ = (46±10±20) MeV
- Assuming it is, the partial width ratio:  $\Gamma(Z_c \rightarrow DD^*)/\Gamma(Z_c \rightarrow \pi J/\Psi) = 6.2\pm1.1\pm2.7$

#### **Tetraquark model disfavoured ?**