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## CGC in a nutshell

## DIS in QCD :



Three Lorentz invariant quantities :
(1) $q^{2}=-Q^{2} \equiv$ virtuality of the incoming photon
(2) $x=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 P \cdot Q} \equiv$ longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the parton
(3) $s \simeq 2 P \cdot Q \equiv$ energy of the colliding $\gamma-p$ system
increasing the energy $\left(s=Q^{2} / x\right)$ of the system:

Bjorken limit fixed $x, Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$

- density of partons decreases/DGLAP


Regge-Gribov limit fixed $Q^{2}, x \rightarrow 0$

- density of partons increases/Saturation!
- $Q_{s} \equiv$ saturation scale
- In the saturation regime, scattering processes are described by an effective theory:


## Color Glass Condensate:

- fast partons: $k^{+}>\Lambda^{+} \rightarrow$ color sources: $J^{\mu}(x)=\delta^{\mu+} \rho\left(x^{-}, x_{\perp}\right)$
- slow partons: : $k^{+}<\Lambda^{+} \rightarrow$ color fields $A^{\mu}(x)$
- interaction: $\int d^{4} x J^{\mu}(x) A_{\mu}(x)$


## Motivation to go from LO to NLO in the CGC

Leading Order in $\alpha_{s}$ CGC calculations:

(pro) : CGC-based theoretical calculations are in qualitative agreement with the experimental data from all types of collisions
(con): LO CGC lacks precision in order to determine unambiguously whether saturation is exhibited by the experimental data.
increasing precision of theory predictions in order to perform precise quantitative studies:

* relaxing the kinematical approximations performed at LO (see talk by Beuf)
$\star$ going from LO to NLO in $\alpha_{s}$ :
There has been a lot activity to provide expressions of observables at NLO.
eA collisions
- dipole factorization
- structure functions/ dijets
pA collisions
- hybrid factorization
- single inclusive hadron/jet
$\star$ Many developments on the NLO corrections to the rapidity evolution equations (see talk by Korcyl)


## Forward hadron production in pA collisions

[Dumitru, Hayashigaki, Jalilian-Marian - hep-ph/0506308]
Accepted calculation framework for forward production in pA collisions: Hybrid factorization

- The wave function of the projectile proton is treated in the spirit of collinear factorization (an assembly of partons with zero intrinsic transverse momenta) - DGLAP gives perturbative corrections.
- Target is treated as distribution of strong color fields which during the scattering event transfer transverse momentum to the propagating partonic configuration. (CGC like treatment)


$$
\frac{d \sigma^{q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} k d \eta}=\int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \int e^{i k\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)}\left\langle s\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

dipole operator: $s\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[U\left(x_{0}\right) U^{\dagger}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]$
high transverse momentum in the produced hadron is acquired from the interaction with the target.

## Forward hadron production

Does LO "Hybrid" formula take into account all contributions at high $k_{\perp}$ ?
[TA, Kovner - arXiv:1102.5327]
For $k_{\perp} \gg Q_{s}$ :
$\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} k d \eta} \propto\left[\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} k d \eta}\right]_{\text {el. }}+\left[\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} k d \eta}\right]_{\text {inel } .}$
Real contributions at NLO.
"Elastic Scattering" (LO) "Inelastic Scattering" (NLO)

[Chirilli, Xiao, Yuan - arXiv:1112.1061 / arXiv:1203.6139] $\rightarrow$ Full NLO computation.
Collinear divergences: absorbed into DGLAP evolution of PDFs and FFs.
Rapidity divergences: absorbed into evolution of the target.
[Stasto, Xiao, Zaslavsky - arXiv:1307.4057] $\rightarrow$ Numerical studies of full NLO result.

cross sections turn out to be negative at large transverse momentum!

Several solutions proposed to fix the problem:

- kinematical constraints
- different choice of rapidity scales
- threshold/ Sudakov resummations


## Revisiting NLO hybrid formula - kinematical constraints

[TA, Armesto, Beuf, Kovner, Lublinsky - arXiv:1411.2869]

- choice of frame:
(i) target moves fast and carries almost all the energy.
(ii) projectile moves fast enough: accommodates partons with momentum fraction $x_{p}$ but does not develop a large low-x tail.
(iii) target is evolved to $s$ from initial $s_{0}$ via BK.
- loffe time restriction: only pairs whose coherence time is greater than the propagation time through the target can be resolved.

$$
\text { coherent scattering } \rightarrow \frac{(1-\xi) \xi x_{p}}{I_{\perp}^{2}}>\frac{1}{s_{0}}
$$



New BK-like terms arise due to loffe time restriction.
[Watanabe, Xiao, Yuan, Zaslavsky - arXiv:1505.05183] $\rightarrow$ exact kinematical constraint.

$$
\int_{0}^{1-\frac{l_{\perp}^{2}}{x_{\rho} s}} \frac{d \xi}{(1-\xi)}=\ln \frac{1}{x_{g}}+\ln \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{l_{\perp}^{2}}
$$

New terms $\left(L_{q}+L_{g}\right)$ arise from $I_{\perp}^{2}<(1-\xi) k_{\perp}^{2}$
The new terms in both works are consistent and equivalent.

# Revisiting NLO hybrid formula - kinematical constraints 

[Watanabe, Xiao, Yuan, Zaslavsky - arXiv:1505.05183]


BRAHMS data with $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$.
The negativity problem is shifted to higher transverse momentum but not cured!
[Xiao, Yuan - arXiv:1806.0352], [Shi, Wang, Wei, Xiao - arXiv:2112.06975]
A new proposal:

- resum soft logs $\rightarrow$ Sudakov resummation
- resum collinear logs $\rightarrow$ Threshold resummation (DGLAP of PDFs or FFs)


## A new approach to forward pA scatterings

Common assumption in all these works: large logs can be resummed within the collinear factorization.
[TA, Armesto, Kovner, Lublinsky - arXiv: 2307.14922]
TMD-factorized framework is a natural choice to resum all large logs.
in [arXiv:1102.5327], the mechanisms that give rise to high transverse momentum hadrons:


- It is more natural to think the inelastic contribution in the TMD framework: produced high $k_{T}$ quark coming directly from quark $T M D P D F$.
* another potential source to producing high transverse momentum hadron:
low $k_{T}$ parton scatters softly, but subsequently fragments into a high transverse momentum hadron.
-Hadron arising from TMD FF.
* soft logs - we follow [arXiv:1411.2869]


## The setup of the problem

[TA, Armesto, Kovner, Lublinsky - arXiv:2307.14922]
TMD-factorized parton model expression:

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{L O+N L O}}{d^{2} p_{\perp} d \eta} \propto \int \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \int_{k_{\perp} q_{\perp}} \mathcal{T}\left(x_{F} / \zeta, k_{\perp} ; \mu_{T}^{2}\right) P\left(k_{\perp}, q_{\perp}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(\zeta, p_{\perp},\left(k_{\perp}+q_{\perp}\right) ; \mu_{F}^{2}\right)+\text { Gen. NLO }
$$

Dilute projectile, $P$

$\mathcal{T}\left(x_{F} / \zeta, k_{\perp} ; \mu_{T}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ initial TMD PDF $\mathcal{F}\left(\zeta, p_{\perp},\left(k_{\perp}+q_{\perp}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ TMD FF
$P\left(k_{\perp}, q_{\perp}\right) \rightarrow$ differential probability to produce a parton with momentum $\left(k_{\perp}+q_{\perp}\right)$ from a parton with momentum $k_{\perp}$

The factorization scales:

$$
\left.\mu_{T}^{2}=\max \left\{k_{\perp}^{2}, q_{\perp}^{2}, Q_{s}^{2}\right\} \approx \max \left\{\left(k_{\perp}+q_{\perp}\right)^{2}, Q_{s}^{2}\right\}, \mu_{F}^{2}=\left(\left(q_{\perp}+k_{\perp}\right)-p_{\perp} / \zeta\right)^{2}\right) \approx \max \left\{\left(q_{\perp}+k_{\perp}\right)^{2},\left(p_{\perp} / \zeta\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

## TMD distributions

- TMD PDFs are generated from the collinear ones (large $k$ )

$$
x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2}, k^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)=\frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d \xi \frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi} \frac{x}{1-\xi} f_{k^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x}{1-\xi}\right) \frac{1}{k^{2}}
$$



- The soft divergence of the gluon emission is regulated by the cut off $\xi_{0}$.
- Partons with high longitudinal momentum are produced from partons with lower longitudinal momentum by DGLAP splitting.
- Transverse resolution scale in these splittings is equal to the transverse momentum of the parton.
- Evolution of the TMDs

$$
x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)=\theta\left(\mu^{2}-k^{2}\right)\left[x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2} ; k^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)-\frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{k^{2}}^{\mu^{2}} \frac{\pi d l^{2}}{l^{2}} \int_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d \xi \frac{1+(1-\xi)}{\xi} x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2} ; l^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)\right]
$$

Increasing the transverse resolution $\Rightarrow$ number of $q$ at a fixed transverse momentum decreases due to DGLAP splittings into $q g$ pair with higher long. momentum given by the resolution scale.

With these definitions, collinear q-PDF andf TMD q-PDF are related via

$$
x f_{\mu^{2}}^{q}(x)=\int_{0}^{\mu^{2}} \pi d k^{2} x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)
$$

And it satisfies the DGLAP evolution equations...

## Forward pA - quark channel

- start from the expressions obtained in LCPT (with loffe time restriction) in [arXiv:1411.2869] (no collinear subtraction and no + prescription)
- projectile contains quarks with transverse momentum smaller than $\mu_{0}$, target sits at some rapidity with no need of further evolution.
- assumptions: large $N_{c}$, factorization of the dipoles, and translationally invariant dipoles.

After Including the fragmentation and FT to momentum space:

$$
\frac{d \sigma^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}=\frac{d \sigma_{0}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}+\frac{d \sigma_{1, \mathrm{r}}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}+\frac{d \sigma_{1 \mathrm{v}}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}
$$

LO term

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{0}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}=S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) s(p / \zeta)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} p d \eta}\right|_{\mathrm{NLO}, \mathrm{r}} ^{\mathrm{q} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}} & =\frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \int_{k^{2}, q^{2}>\mu_{0}^{2}} \int_{\xi_{0}} d \xi \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta(1-\xi)} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta(1-\xi)}\right) \frac{N_{c}}{2}\left[\frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi}\right] s(k) s(q) \\
& \times\left\{\frac{1}{2} \frac{(q-k)^{2}}{(p / \zeta-k)^{2}(p / \zeta-q)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\xi)^{2}(q-k)^{2}}{[p / \zeta-(1-\xi) k]^{2}[p / \zeta-(1-\xi) q]^{2}}\right\}+(\text { Gen.NLO })_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## NLO real terms

The first term can be cast into

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{k, q} s(k) s(q) \frac{(q-k)^{2}}{(p / \zeta-k)^{2}(p / \zeta-q)^{2}}=\int_{k, q} \frac{1}{k^{2}} s(-k+p / \zeta)\left[1-\frac{k \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right] s(-q+p / \zeta)
$$

Second term (after rescaling $\zeta(1-\xi) \rightarrow \zeta^{\prime}$ ) can be acts into the same form.
Using the definition of TMD PDF (analogously TMD FF)

$$
x \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(x, k^{2}, k^{2} ; \xi_{0}\right)=\frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{\xi_{0}}^{1} d \xi \frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi} \frac{x}{1-\xi} f_{k^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x}{1-\xi}\right) \frac{1}{k^{2}}
$$

The real contribution reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma_{1, \mathrm{r}}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}= & S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \int_{k^{2}>\mu_{0}^{2}} \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\left\{D_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}, k^{2} ; k^{2}, \xi_{0}=\frac{k^{2} \zeta}{x_{F} S_{0}}\right)+f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \mathcal{F}^{q}\left(\zeta, k^{2} ; k^{2}, \xi_{0}=\frac{k^{2} \zeta}{x_{F} S_{0}}\right)\right\} \\
& \times \int_{q} s(-k+p / \zeta)\left[1-\frac{k \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right] s(-q+p / \zeta)+(\text { Gen. NLO })
\end{aligned}
$$

- incoming quark with mom. $k$, scatters with mom exchange $-k+p / \zeta$, outgoing quark with mom. $p / \zeta$ collinearly fragments into a hadron with mom. $p$.
- (shift $k \rightarrow-q+p / \zeta$ and $q \rightarrow-k+p / \zeta$ ) incoming quark with vanishing mom., scatters with mom. transfer $q$, first perturbatively fragments into a quark with mom $p / \zeta$, which then fragments into a hadron with momentum $p$.


## NLO virtual contributions

Starting from the expressions in [arXiv:1411.2869], adopting the same assumptions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma_{1, v}^{q \rightarrow q \rightarrow H}}{d^{2} p d \eta}=-2 \frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} S_{\perp} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \int_{k^{2}>\mu_{0}^{2}} \int_{k^{2} \zeta /\left(x_{F} s_{0}\right)}^{1} d \xi \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi} \\
& \times \int_{q} s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right) s(q)\left\{\left[\frac{\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k}{\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k\right)^{2}} \frac{k}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right]+\left[\frac{\frac{p}{\zeta}(1-\xi)-q-k}{\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}(1-\xi)-q-k\right)^{2}}-\frac{\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k}{\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{k}{k^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



- incoming q $\rightarrow$ qg pair, pair scatters, recombines into q.
- NLO corr. to LO elastic q scattering.

- qg loop that appears either before or after the scattering.
- "proper" virtual diagram

Does not contain any large logs (a Gen. NLO correction)
in the first term one can perform the angular integration over the angle of vector $k$ :

$$
\int_{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} k\left[\frac{\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k}{\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}-q-k\right)^{2}} \frac{k}{k^{2}}+\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right]=\int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\left(q-\frac{1}{\zeta} p\right)^{2}} \frac{d^{2} k}{k^{2}}
$$

## NLO virtual contributions

The virtual NLO contribution can be split into two intervals

$$
-2 \frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} S_{\perp} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \int_{q}\left[\int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\mu^{2}}+\int_{\mu^{2}}^{\left(q-\frac{1}{\zeta} p\right)^{2}}\right] \frac{d^{2} k}{k^{2}} \int_{k^{2} \zeta /\left(x_{F} s_{0}\right)}^{1} d \xi \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi} s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right) s(q)
$$

- the first term combines with LO to evolve the resolution scale of the TMD to $\mu^{2}$.
- contribution from the pairs of the transverse size close to the resolution scale.
(no large logs \& Gen. NLO correction)
$\mathrm{LO}+\mathrm{NLO}$ virtual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right) \\
& -2 \frac{g^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} S_{\perp} \frac{N_{c}}{2} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \int_{q} \int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\mu^{2}} \frac{d^{2} k}{k^{2}} \int_{k^{2} \zeta /\left(x_{F} s_{0}\right)}^{1} d \xi \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \frac{1+(1-\xi)^{2}}{\xi} s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right) \\
& =S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} k\left[D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{H}^{q}\left(\zeta, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right)\right] s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## NLO virtual contributions

- evolve the factorization scale in the collinear PDFs and FFs up to $\mu^{2}$

$$
\left.D_{H, \mu_{0}^{2}}^{q}(\zeta) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} l \mathcal{F}_{H}^{q}\left(\zeta, l^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) ; \quad f_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{q} \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}\right) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} k \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right)
$$

this introduces the term at $O\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)$ therefore legitimate in our $O\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ calculation.

- alter the scattering amplitude

$$
s\left(\frac{p}{\zeta}\right) \rightarrow \int_{q} s\left(-(k+l)+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)\left[1-\frac{(k+l) \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right] s\left(-q+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)
$$

$\left(|k+I|^{2} \lesssim \mu_{0}^{2} \ll p^{2} / \zeta^{2} \& q^{2} \sim \max \left(Q_{s}^{2}, p^{2} / \zeta^{2}\right) \& \int_{q} s(q)=1\right) \Rightarrow$ this modification only adds subleading power corrections of the order $\mu_{0}^{2} / Q_{s}^{2}$
$\mathrm{LO}+\mathrm{NLO}$ virtual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \int_{q} \int_{0}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} l \int_{0}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d^{2} k \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{F}_{H}^{q}\left(\zeta, l^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) s\left(-(k+l)+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)\left[1-\frac{(k+l) \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right] s\left(-q+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## LO +NLO virtual+NLO real: Final TMD factorized expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\perp} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta^{2}} \int_{q} \int d^{2} l \int d^{2} k \mathcal{F}_{H}^{q}\left(\zeta, l^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) \frac{x_{F}}{\zeta} \mathcal{T}_{q}\left(\frac{x_{F}}{\zeta}, k^{2} ; \mu^{2} ; \xi_{0}=\frac{\zeta \mu^{2}}{x_{F} s_{0}}\right) \\
& \quad \times s\left(-(k+l)+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)\left[1-\frac{(k+l) \cdot q}{q^{2}}\right] s\left(-q+\frac{p}{\zeta}\right)+(\text { Gen. NLO })
\end{aligned}
$$

- The progress continues in order to provide full NLO results which will provide the necessary precision for quantitive studies to determine whether saturation is exhibited by experimental data.
- We have discussed the issues and the suggested solutions for pA collisions at forward rapidities for NLO calculations.
- A new approach to forward pA scatterings is discussed.

Still have a lot of work to do:

- NLO calc. without TMD FFs, single jet production in forward pA collisions.
- NLO calc. without TMD PDFs, single inclusive hadron production in DIS.

