#### W and Z Physics





#### Chris Hays Oxford University

European Physical Society

HEP 2009









## **The Electroweak Symmetry**

- Fundamental Lagrangian has  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  symmetry
- Vacuum charged under SU(2), U(1)
  - Fixes relative directions
  - Residual  $U(1)_{EM}$  symmetry
- 3 parameters define Electroweak interactions
  - Strength [g: SU(2), g': U(1)]
  - Mass scale of broken symmetry (vacuum energy v)
- Enormously predictive theory
  - Confirmed (W & Z discoveries) and highly tested
  - Missing piece: details of symmetry breaking



F. Wilczek, Nature 433, 239 (2005) 2

#### **Electroweak Tests**

• Many parameters measured to high precision

Tree-level relations:

$$\alpha_{EM} = g^2 g'^2 / 4\pi [g^2 + g'^2]$$
$$m_Z = [g^2 + g'^2]^{1/2} v / 2$$

LEP & SLD Collaborations, Physics Reports 427, 257 (2006)

$$sin^2 \theta = g'^2 / [g^2 + g'^2]$$
  
 $m_W = gv / 2$ 

## **Loop-Level Probes**

- High precision provides sensitivity to unobserved particles
  - Probed through loop corrections
  - Sensitivity currently limited by precision on W boson mass



## W Boson Mass Measurements

- Published measurements give combined precision of 25 MeV
  - Preliminary DØ result is world's most precise single measurement



• Future hadron-collider measurements promise <10 MeV precision

## W & Z Production at Hadron Colliders

- Initial momentum in beam direction unknown
  - Focus on transverse quantities





#### Measuring $m_W$ at a Hadron Collider

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to  $l^{\pm}$  and  $\nu$ 
    - Only transverse momenta used in mass fit
- Theoretical inputs:

*– Details of W production and decay* 





$$m_T^2 = 2p_T^l p_T^{\nu} (1 - \cos\Delta\phi)$$

| Source                   | Uncertainty (MeV) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Lepton Scale             | 23.1              |
| Lepton Resolution        | 4.4               |
| Lepton Efficiency        | 1.7               |
| Lepton Tower Removal     | 6.3               |
| Recoil Energy Scale      | 8.3               |
| Recoil Energy Resolution | 9.6               |
| Backgrounds              | 6.4               |
| PDFs                     | 12.6              |
| $W$ Boson $p_T$          | 3.9               |
| Photon Radiation         | 11.6              |

CDF Collaboration, PRL 99, 151801 (2007), PRD 77, 112001 (2008) 7

21 July, 2009

C. Hays, Oxford University

#### **WBoson Production**

- Parton distribution functions
  - Affect observed  $m_T$  distribution
  - Intersection of theory and experiment
    - Wide set of data used to fit for function parameters at given Q<sup>2</sup>
    - Higher Q<sup>2</sup> obtained using DGLAP equations
  - New Tevatron data improving PDF accuracy



**1**±

#### **WBoson Production**



## **W Boson Production**

- Parton distribution functions
  - *W boson charge asymmetry*



#### **W Boson Production**



#### Measuring $m_W$ at a Hadron Collider

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to  $l^{\pm}$  and  $\nu$ 
    - Only transverse momenta used in mass fit
- Theoretical inputs:

– *Details of W production and decay* 





$$m_T^2 = 2p_T^l p_T^v (1 - \cos\Delta\phi)$$

| Source                       | Uncertainty $(MeV)$ |
|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Lepton Scale                 | 23.1                |
| Lepton Resolution            | 4.4                 |
| Lepton Efficiency            | 1.7                 |
| Lepton Tower Removal         | 6.3                 |
| Recoil Energy Scale          | 8.3                 |
| Recoil Energy Resolution     | 9.6                 |
| Backgrounds                  | 6.4                 |
| PDFs                         | 12.6                |
| $W \operatorname{Boson} p_T$ | 3.9                 |
| Photon Radiation             | 11.6                |

CDF Collaboration, PRL 99, 151801 (2007), PRD 77, 112001 (2008) 12

21 July, 2009

## W Boson Decay

- $m_W$  measurement sensitive to  $\gamma$  radiation from final-state  $l^{\pm}$ 
  - Including O(a) radiation has ~150 MeV effect at CDF
  - Uncertainty primarily due to higher orders
  - New generators include higher orders using exponentiation techniques
    - Promise to significantly reduce QED uncertainties



#### Measuring $m_W$ at a Hadron Collider

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to  $l^{\pm}$  and v
    - Only transverse momenta used in mass fit
- Theoretical inputs: •

- *Details of W production and decay* 





$$m_T^2 = 2p_T^l p_T^v (1 - \cos\Delta\phi)$$

| Source                   | Uncertainty (MeV) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Lepton Scale             | 23.1              |
| Lepton Resolution        | 4.4               |
| Lepton Efficiency        | 1.7               |
| Lepton Tower Removal     | 6.3               |
| Recoil Energy Scale      | 8.3               |
| Recoil Energy Resolution | 9.6               |
| Backgrounds              | 6.4               |
| PDFs                     | 12.6              |
| $W$ Boson $p_T$          | 3.9               |
| Photon Radiation         | 11.6              |

CDF Collaboration, PRL 99, 151801 (2007), PRD 77, 112001 (2008) 14

21 July, 2009

#### **Z** Boson Production

- Boson transverse momentum
  - Dominant production in non-perturbative regime
  - Parameters motivated by theory, measured with Z boson data



- Experimentally more precise to measure projected boson  $p_T$ 



### Measuring $m_W$ at a Hadron Collider

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to  $l^{\pm}$  and  $\nu$ 
    - Only transverse momenta used in mass fit





$$m_T^2 = 2p_T^l p_T^v (1 - \cos\Delta\phi)$$

| Source                   | Uncertainty $(MeV)$ |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Lepton Scale             | 23.1                |
| Lepton Resolution        | 4.4                 |
| Lepton Efficiency        | 1.7                 |
| Lepton Tower Removal     | 6.3                 |
| Recoil Energy Scale      | 8.3                 |
| Recoil Energy Resolution | 9.6                 |
| Backgrounds              | 6.4                 |
| PDFs                     | 12.6                |
| $W$ Boson $p_T$          | 3.9                 |
| Photon Radiation         | 11.6                |

CDF Collaboration, PRL 99, 151801 (2007), PRD 77, 112001 (2008) 16

## DØ m<sub>W</sub> Measurement

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to  $l^{\pm}$ 
    - Use sample of **18,725** fully reconstructed  $Z \rightarrow ee$  events
    - Response includes scale and offset:  $R = \alpha E + \beta$
    - Energy scale calibration is dominant systematic uncertainty on  $m_W$



## DØ m<sub>W</sub> Measurement

- Experimental inputs:
  - In situ calibration of detector response to v
    - Develop model using GEANT and randomly collected events (zero bias)
    - Tune parameters with  $Z \rightarrow ee$  events
    - Response to hadrons (< 1) results in measured momentum imbalance



## DØ m<sub>W</sub> Measurement

- W boson mass fits
  - Template fits to  $m_T$ ,  $p_T^{l\pm}$ ,  $p_T^{\nu}$  distributions
    - $m_T$  most accurate, others provide important cross-check and additional precision



| Source                                       | m <sub>T</sub> | p <sub>T</sub> (e) | Missing E <sub>T</sub> |
|--|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Electron energy response                     | 34             | 34                 | 34                     |
| Electron energy resolution                   | 2              | 2                  | 3                      |
| Electron energy non-linearity                | 4              | 6                  | 7                      |
| Electron energy loss differences for W and Z | 4              | 4                  | 4                      |
| Electron efficiencies                        | 5              | 6                  | 5                      |
| Recoil model                                 | 6              | 12                 | 20                     |
| Backgrounds                                  | 2              | 5                  | 4                      |
| Subtotal Experimental                        | 35             | 37                 | 41                     |
| PDF CTEQ6.1M                                 | 9              | 11                 | 11                     |
| QED  | 7              | 7                  | 9                      |
| Boson p <sub>T</sub>                         | 2              | 5                  | 2                      |
| Subtotal Theory (W/Z production & decay)     | 12             | 14                 | 17                     |
| Total Systematics                            | 37             | 40                 | 44                     |
| Total Statistics                             | <u>2</u> 3     | 27                 | <b>23</b>              |
| TOTAL  | 44             | 48                 | 50                     |

 $m_W = 80.401 \pm 0.021_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.038_{\text{sys}} \text{ GeV}$ = 80.401 ± 0.043 GeV

## World-Average *m*<sub>W</sub>

- Tevatron average not yet available •
- Gfitter group has calculated its own world-average  $m_W$ 
  - $m_W = 80.399 \pm 0.023 \text{ GeV} (\sim 10\% \text{ reduction in uncertainty})$



**104**<sup>+148</sup><sub>-64</sub>

**26**<sup>+25</sup><sub>-16</sub>

**371**<sup>+295</sup><sub>-166</sub>

**42**<sup>+56</sup><sub>-22</sub>

83<sup>+30</sup><sub>-23</sub>

#### Constraints from $m_W$

• Electroweak measurements prefer light Higgs, heavy SUSY

- Some tension in both cases
  - Something else?
  - Need increased precision



### Future *m<sub>W</sub>* Measurements

- Expect  $\delta m_W < 25$  MeV from next Tevatron measurement
  - $CDF: 2.3 fb^{-1}, D\emptyset: 5 fb^{-1}$

- CDF momentum scale calibration includes  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ,  $Y \rightarrow \mu\mu$ , electron E/p



- Expect δm<sub>W</sub>~ 7 MeV from ATLAS
  p<sub>T</sub> fit with 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  45 million W boson events
  - 4.5 million 7 begen events
  - 4.5 million Z boson events

| effect               | δm <sub>w</sub> (MeV)  |
|----------------------|--|
| $\Gamma_{W}$         | 0.5  |
| Уw                   | 1  |
| p <sub>tW</sub>      | 3  |
| QED radiation        | <1   |
| linearity and scale  | 4  |
| resolution           | 1  |
| efficiency           | 3 (e); <1 (μ)  |
| $W \to \tau \nu$     | 0.4  |
| $Z \rightarrow I(I)$ | 0.2  |
| $Z \to \tau\tau$     | 0.1  |
| Jet events           | 0.5  |
|                      | <1 (e); ~0 (µ)   |
|                      | <0.1   |
|                      | ~7   |
|                      | effect $\Gamma_W$ $y_W$ $p_{W}$ QED radiationlinearity and scaleresolutionefficiency $W \rightarrow \tau v$ $Z \rightarrow t \tau$ $Z \rightarrow t \tau$ Jet events |

21 July, 2009

One channel (e) and one study  $(\boldsymbol{p}_{T})$ 

### Measurement of $\sin^2\theta_W$

- Chiral weak coupling produces angular asymmetry in Drell-Yan •
  - $d\sigma/d\cos\theta \propto 1 + \cos^2\theta + A_{FB}\cos\theta \left[A_{FB} = f(v_{f}, a_{f}, s)\right]$
  - Vector & axial couplings:
    - $\mathbf{v_f} = I_1^3$  2e sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_W$ ;  $\mathbf{a_f} = I_1^3$
  - Measurement provides sensitivity to  $\sin^2 \theta_W$





 $\sin^2\theta_{\rm W} = 0.2326 \pm$  $0.0018_{\text{stat}} \pm$  $0.0006_{svs}$ 

c.f. SM prediction:  $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W} = 0.23149 \pm$ 0.00013

DØ Collaboration, PRL 101, 191801 (2008)

## **Quark Couplings to Neutral Current**

- Ambiguity in LEP measurement of quark electroweak couplings
  - HERA and Tevatron data resolving ambiguity

 $-a_{q} = I_{L}^{3} = 1/2$  (u), -1/2 (d)

- Up and down quark couplings to neutral current
  - Vector coupling:

$$- \mathbf{v_q} = I_L^3 - 2e \sin^2 \theta_W = 0.203$$
 (u), -0.351 (d)

• Axial coupling:





Fit to v<sub>q</sub>, a<sub>q</sub> using NC data from HERA

Factor of two more data available for analysis

H1 Collaboration, PLB 632, 35 (2006)

21 July, 2009

- Non-abelian electroweak structure tested in detail
  - Tevatron results complementary to LEP
    - Sensitive to deviations at higher  $Q^2$
    - Separately probe *WWZ* and *WWγ* vertices

- Continue to add final states to probe triple-gauge couplings



- Non-abelian electroweak structure tested in detail
  - Tevatron results complementary to LEP
    - Sensitive to deviations at higher  $Q^2$
    - Separately probe *WWZ* and *WWγ* vertices



- *Continue to add final states to probe triple-gauge couplings* 



- Non-abelian electroweak structure tested in detail
  - Tevatron results complementary to LEP
    - Sensitive to deviations at higher  $Q^2$
    - Separately probe *WWZ* and *WWγ* vertices
  - Continue to add final states to probe triple-gauge couplings
    - $W\gamma \rightarrow l\nu\gamma$
    - $Z\gamma \rightarrow ll\gamma$
    - $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\nu\gamma$
    - $WW \rightarrow llvv$
    - $WW \rightarrow l\nu qq$
    - $WZ \rightarrow l\nu qq$
    - $WZ \rightarrow lllv$
    - $WZ \rightarrow qqvv$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow qq\nu\nu$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow llll$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow ll\nu\nu$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow llqq$







21 July, 2009

- Non-abelian electroweak structure tested in detail
  - Tevatron results complementary to LEP
    - Sensitive to deviations at higher  $Q^2$
    - Separately probe *WWZ* and *WWγ* vertices



- *Continue to add final states to probe triple-gauge couplings* 



21 July, 2009

C. Hays, Oxford University

#### $5.3\sigma$ observation

- Non-abelian electroweak structure tested in detail
  - Tevatron results complementary to LEP
    - Sensitive to deviations at higher  $Q^2$
    - Separately probe *WWZ* and *WWy* vertices
  - Continue to add final states to probe triple-gauge couplings
    - $W\gamma \rightarrow l\nu\gamma$
    - $Z\gamma \rightarrow ll\gamma$
    - $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\nu\gamma$
    - $WW \rightarrow llvv$
    - $WW \rightarrow l\nu qq$
    - $WZ \rightarrow l\nu qq$
    - $WZ \rightarrow lllv$
    - $WZ \rightarrow qqvv$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow qq\nu\nu$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow llll$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow llvv$
    - $ZZ \rightarrow llqq$



CDF Collaboration, PRL 100, 201801 (2008)

#### $4.4\sigma$ evidence

C. Hays, Oxford University





### **More Results**

- PDFs and  $m_W$  at LHC
  - Lepton charge asymmetry can constrain PDFs
  - $-W^+/W^-$  production asymmetry complicates  $m_W$  measurement
- Tau measurements at BaBar
  - Lepton universality  $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau \tau / Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu \mu = 1$  (ignoring masses)
    - $R_{\tau\mu}(Y(1s)) = 1.009 \pm 0.010_{stat} \pm 0.024_{sys}$
  - Tau mass
    - $m_{\tau} = 1776.68 \pm 0.12_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.41_{\text{sys}} \text{MeV}$
    - $(m_{\tau^+} m_{\tau^-})/m_{\tau} = (-3.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$



- $(g 2)_{\mu}$ 
  - New tau-based  $a_{\mu}^{had}$  more consistent with electron-based  $a_{\mu}^{had}$  (<3 $\sigma$ )
  - g-2 data theory discrepancy  $1.9\sigma(\tau) 3.5\sigma(e)$

C. Hays, Oxford University



## Summary

- Steadily improving precision on  $m_W$ 
  - CDF and DØ have the two best measurements in the world
  - Pieces coming together to allow single measurements with  $\delta m_W < 25 \text{ MeV}$
  - Expect ultimate hadron-collider precision of  $\delta m_W < 10 \text{ MeV}$
- Precision measurement of sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sub>W</sub> possible with full Run 2 data set
  *CDF and DØ have initial measurements using A<sub>FB</sub> in electron data*
- HERA producing best measurements of NC quark couplings
  *Now also able to observe triple-gauge couplings*
- Tevatron experiments probing TGC with unprecedented precision – New hadronic channels an important step on the road to the Higgs

#### If there is no SM Higgs...



C. Hays, Oxford University