W and Z weak boson production

(new results from CDF on W charge asymmetry and Z rapidity distributions)

Krzysztof Sliwa CDF Collaboration



Tufts University Department of Physics and Astronomy, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA

The Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics 16-22 July 2009, Krakow, Poland

W and Z boson production - why??



RUN-II AT TEVATRON 2001-??? Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN







New Main Injector \Rightarrow CM energy (\sqrt{s}) increased from 1800 GeV to 1960 GeV (t<u>t</u> cross section increases by ~35%)

Different beam crossing time (396 ns and 132 ns later (?), instead of 3.5 μ s in Run-I) - fewer multiple interactions ³

TEVATRON STATUS JULY 2009



RUN-II CDF DETECTOR



W-asymmetry in rapidity distribution

At Tevatron (pp) at $\sqrt{s=1.96}$ TeV, W⁺(W⁻) are produced predominantly by the weak interaction of u(d) quarks and <u>d(u)</u> antiquarks. Since u quarks carry (on average) larger fraction of proton's momentum than d quarks, W⁺ is boosted along the proton direction (W⁻ is boosted in the antiproton direction). The difference in the respective rapidity distributions gives rise to a charge asymmetry:

$$A_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, y) = A_{W^{-}}(\sqrt{s}, -y) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, y) - \sigma_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, -y)}{\sigma_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, y) + \sigma_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, -y)}; \qquad y = \frac{1}{2}\ln(\frac{E + p_{\parallel}}{E - p_{\parallel}}).$$



W-asymmetry in rapidity distribution

• E.L. Berger, F. Halzen, C.S. Kim and S. Willenbrock; Phys. Rev. D40 (1989) 83

$$A_{W^{+}}(\sqrt{s}, y) = A_{W^{-}}(\sqrt{s}, -y) \propto \frac{u(x_{1})d(x_{2}) - u(x_{2})d(x_{1})}{u(x_{1})d(x_{2}) + u(x_{2})d(x_{1})}$$

where
$$x_1 = M_W e^y / \sqrt{s}, x_2 = M_W e^{-y} / \sqrt{s}$$
.

Measuring charge asymmetry constrains the proton parton distribution functions (PDF), in W case it is the ratio u(x)/d(x).

In the past, Tevatron experiments measured W charge asymmetry of *leptons* from W decays as a function of *pseudorapidity* (η). Such asymmetry is a convolution of W charge asymmetry and V-A asymmetry from W decays into leptons. The two tend to cancel at large $|\eta| \sim 2$, and the convolution complicates and weakens the constraint on proton PDF. The new CDF analysis measures *directly* $A_{W^+}(\sqrt{s}, y)$.

The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

W-asymmetry in rapidity distribution

Leptons from W decay (V-A) prefer the direction opposite to that of the boost.





As a result of a convolution of production and decay asymmetries, lepton asymmetry A(η) has a turn-over at large $|\eta|$, and has *much smaller magnitude* than the production charge asymmetry $A_{w^+}(\sqrt{s}, y)$.



W-asymmetry in rapidity: new technique

How to measure W rapidity ?

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{E + p_{\parallel}}{E - p_{\parallel}}).$$
$$p^{W}_{\parallel} = p^{lepton}_{\parallel} + p^{v}_{\parallel}$$

Neutrino escapes undetected, we cannot measure its momentum. However, the missing energy provides information about its transverse component, one can use the (W) mass constraint to find p_{\parallel}^{v} .

$$M_W^2 = (E^{lepton} + E^v)^2 - (\vec{p}^{lepton} + \vec{p}^v)^2$$

A quadratic equation for $p_{\parallel}^{v} \Rightarrow$ two solutions: $p_{\parallel,1}^{v}, p_{\parallel,2}^{v}$.

The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

W-asymmetry in rapidity: new technique

W+

ν

This ambiguity can be resolved on a *statistical* basis, using a weighting factor:

where \pm is W charge, and indices 1,2 indicate the two W rapidity solutions.

$$P_{\pm}(\cos\Theta_{1,2}^*, y_{1,2}, p_T^W)\sigma(y_{1,2}) = (1 \mp \cos\Theta^*)^2 + Q(y_W, p_T^W)(1 \pm \cos\Theta^*)^2$$

The differential cross sections, $d\sigma / dy_W$, are taken from NNLO QCD calculation*, the ratio of the two terms, Q, depends on quark/antiquark composition of the proton and was determined using MC@NLO. Factors in expression for $w_{1,2}^{\pm}$ have (very) weak dependence on the assumed W charge asymmetry \Rightarrow **ITERATE**

W-asymmetry in rapidity: new technique

input:

 $(\underline{u}+\underline{d})/(u+d)$

$$d\sigma(p\underline{p} \to W^+X) / dy_W + d\sigma(p\underline{p} \to W^-X) / dy_W$$

measured (output from iteration):

$$A(y_W) = \frac{d\sigma / dy_{W^+} - d\sigma / dy_{W^-}}{d\sigma / dy_{W^+} + d\sigma / dy_{W^-}}$$

Method documented in:

A. Bodek, Y. Chung, B.-Y. Han, K. McFarland, E. Halkiadakis, Phys. Rev. D 77, 111301(R) (2008)

The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland П

W event selection

Electron selection:

- single isolated cluster in EM calorimeter ISO(0.4) < 4 GeV
- $E_T > 25(20)$ GeV in central (forward) calorimeter

- ~538 k events in central calorimeter
- \sim 177 k events in forward calorimeter



W asymmetry: backgrounds

 fakes or non-isolated electrons: from the data, use energy isolation around electron, fit shape to background fraction, uncertainty is ~0.15% of the total sample







W asymmetry: backgrounds $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$, QCD, $W \rightarrow \tau v$

	central			plug			
samples	events	fraction $(\%)$	events	fraction $(\%)$			
DATA	537858		176941				
$Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$	3173.36	$0.59 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)}$	955.48	$0.54 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat.)}$			
$Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$	487.21	$0.09 \pm 0.00 \text{ (stat.)}$	179.81	$0.10 \pm 0.01 \text{ (stat.)}$			
QCD	6508.08	$1.21 \pm 0.14 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.15 \text{ (syst.)}$	1185.50	$0.67 \pm 0.12 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (syst.)}$			
$W \rightarrow \tau \nu$	12370.73	$2.30 \pm 0.04 \text{ (stat.)}$	3609.60	$2.04 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat.)}$			

TABLE I: The predicted background contribution in $W \to e\nu$ candidates. The error represents the statistical uncertainty and the systematic uncertainty caused by our isolation fit method (QCD). Note that $W \to \tau \nu \to e\nu$ is not considered to be a background but is included in the signal acceptance for the W charge asymmetry analysis, and its contributio is shown in the last row.

W asymmetry: charge misidentification

- crucial for the measurement, forward tracks have fewer hits and shorter lever arm
- measure charge using $Z \rightarrow ee$ sample

$$f = \frac{N_{samesign}}{N_{samesign} + N_{oppositesign}}$$





W asymmetry: acceptance

$$Acc^{\pm}(y_W) = \frac{\sum w^{\pm}(y_W)}{d\sigma / dy_W^{generated}}$$



W asymmetry: uncertainties

Total Uncertainties on W Charge Asymmetry Total Stat. % error 3 ∆ Asymmetry(%) Total Syst. % error 2 -2 -3 L = 1 fb⁻¹ **CDF Run II Preliminary** -2 -1 2 0 -3 1 3 У",

TABLE I: Statistical and systematic uncertainties for the W production charge asymmetry. All values are $(\times 10^{-2})$ and show the correlated uncertainties for both positive and negative rapidities.

$ y_W $	Charge	Back-	Energy Scale	Recoil	Electron	Electron	PDFs	Stat.
	MisID	grounds	& Resolution	Model	Trigger	ID		
0.0 - 0.2	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.31
0.2 - 0.4	0.01	0.09	0.04	0.22	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.32
0.4 - 0.6	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.22	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.33
0.6 - 0.8	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.34	0.14	0.30	0.22	0.32
0.8 - 1.0	0.03	0.20	0.07	0.42	0.11	0.47	0.24	0.34
1.0 - 1.2	0.04	0.18	0.08	0.33	0.09	0.69	0.27	0.38
1.2 - 1.4	0.05	0.18	0.15	0.67	0.06	0.78	0.28	0.43
1.4 - 1.6	0.04	0.14	0.14	1.10	0.04	0.85	0.28	0.50
1.6 - 1.8	0.08	0.12	0.26	0.92	0.03	0.89	0.29	0.55
1.8 - 2.05	0.22	0.13	0.31	0.82	0.06	0.80	0.34	0.62
2.05 - 2.3	0.44	0.21	0.53	0.59	0.17	0.85	0.42	0.83
2.3 - 2.6	0.45	0.19	0.62	0.40	0.27	0.86	0.50	1.10
2.6 - 3.0	0.14	0.10	0.60	0.43	0.28	0.65	0.53	2.30

17

W asymmetry: results | fb⁻¹

Positive and negative agree \Rightarrow FOLD into a single plot



W asymmetry: results | fb⁻¹

Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 181801 compare with NLO and NNLO (better)

TABLE II: The W production charge asymmetry with total systematic and statistical uncertainties.

$ y_W $	$< y_W >$	$A(y_W)$	σ_{sys}	$\sigma_{sys+stat}$
0.0 - 0.2	0.10	0.020	± 0.001	± 0.003
0.2 - 0.4	0.30	0.057	± 0.003	± 0.004
0.4 - 0.6	0.50	0.081	± 0.004	± 0.005
0.6 - 0.8	0.70	0.117	± 0.006	± 0.006
0.8 - 1.0	0.89	0.146	± 0.007	± 0.008
1.0 - 1.2	1.09	0.204	± 0.008	± 0.010
1.2 - 1.4	1.29	0.235	± 0.011	± 0.012
1.4 - 1.6	1.49	0.261	± 0.014	± 0.015
1.6 - 1.8	1.69	0.303	± 0.014	± 0.014
1.8 - 2.05	1.91	0.355	± 0.013	± 0.014
2.05 - 2.3	2.15	0.436	± 0.013	± 0.016
2.3 - 2.6	2.40	0.537	± 0.014	± 0.018
2.6 - 3.0	2.63	0.642	± 0.012	± 0.026



Z rapidity distribution

Drell-Yan process is another very useful source of information about the proton PDF. In events in which dilepton pairs are produced at large rapidity, y, one parton carries large and the other small momentum fraction x. In LO, the momentum fractions x_1, x_2 are related to rapidity through:

$$x_1, x_2 = (M / \sqrt{s})e^{\pm y}.$$

CDF new result is based on data sample of 2.1 fb⁻¹, with the measured rapidity range extending to |y| < 2.9 (kinematical limit y=3.0). Three topologies of e⁺e⁻ are considered:

(CC) both leptons in central calorimeter |y|<1.1

(CP) one lepton in central and the other in plug calorimeter (1.1 < |y| < 3.6)

(PP) both leptons in plug calorimeter

The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

Z rapidity/ cuts

Cuts: E_T

> 25 GeV for CC and PP events

> 20 GeV for CP events

Tracking:

CC and CP: central electron must have a matched track in COT (|y|<1.2) PP: at least one electron matched SVX track (85% matching efficiency) Mass window:

 $66 \text{ GeV/c}^2 < M(e^+e^-) < 116 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

topology	# events	background fraction
CC	51 k	0.24±0.03 %
СР	86 k	1.55±0.44 %
PP	31 k	3.40±0.75 %

The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

Z rapidity/ background/ acceptance

Electroweak backgrounds (WW, WZ, W inclusive and $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$) and t<u>t</u> inclusive processes small, estimated from simulations 0.41±0.02 %.

Acceptance from Pythia (LO) combined with CDF detector simulation.



The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

Z rapidity/ results 2.1 fb⁻¹

Total cross section: |y| < 2.9

σ=256.0±0.7(stat)±2.0(syst) pb + 6% luminosity error (not included) (236.1±9.3 pb NLO CTEQ6M) (252.6±3.1 pb NNLO MRST 2006)



Z rapidity/ results 2.1 fb⁻¹

Comparison of rapidity distributions with NLO and NNLO. NLO : χ^2 =38/28 DOF, CL=0.094 NNLO: χ^2 =58/28 DOF, CL=0.0064 Both need tuning



The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

24

Z rapidity results 2.1 fb⁻¹ \Rightarrow xd_v(x,Q²)

The new CDF (and D0) results have allowed a better (more robust) parametrization of valence d-quark distribution: Martin, Stirling, Thorne, Watt, hep-ph/0901.0002 (MSTW 2008) \Rightarrow increase at x≈0.3. decrease at x≈0.07. wider error band. more flexible



Summary

CDF has new measurements of:

CDF II preliminary

• W charge asymmetry, $A_W(y)$ as a function of W rapidity (1 fb⁻¹)

 $l = 200 \text{ nb}^{-1}$

• Z rapidity distribution in a range |y| < 2.9 (2.1 fb⁻¹)

W, Z boson production results provide new constraints on proton valence quarks PDF's (primarily for d-quark, as u-quark is well constrained from other PDF data); comparisons with NLO and NNLO calculations indicate need of additional tuning

 \Rightarrow important for reducing W mass systematic error

CDF in premimary		E = 200 pb					
			ana a <u>na</u> silannia tanna diana fanana	Source	$\sigma(m_W)$ MeV m_T	$\sigma(m_W)$ MeV p_T^e	$\sigma(m_W) \text{ MeV } \not\!\!\!E_T$
m _T Uncertainty [MeV] Electrons Muons			Common	Experimental			
Lepton Scale	30	17	17	Electron Energy Scale	34	34	34
Lepton Resolution	9	3	0	Electron Energy Resolution Model	2	2	3
Recoil Scale	9	9	9	Electron Energy Nonlinearity	4	6	7
Recoil Resolution	7	7	7	W and Z Electron energy loss differences	4	4	4
u _{II} Efficiency	3	1	0	Recoil Model	6	12	20
Lepton Removal	8	5	5	Electron Efficiencies	5	6	5
Backgrounds	8	9	0	Backgrounds	2	5	4
p _⊤ (W)	3	3	3	Experimental Total W production and	35	37	41
PDF	11	11	11	decay model			
QED	11	12	11	PDF	9	11	14
Total Systematic	39	27	26	QED	7	7	9
Statistical	48	54	0	Boson p_T	2	5	2
Tatal		04	00	w model Total	12	14	17
I OTEL	02	00	26	Total	37	40	44

Additional slides

W lepton pseudorapidity asymmetry

CDF Run-II Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 051104



The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

28

W asymmetry D0 vs CDF

- CDF provides *raw stat.* data for $A_{lepton}(\eta)$ only (1 fb⁻¹)
- D0 (0.75 fb⁻¹) data a bit lower than CDF for 0.8<[η]<2.0



The 2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Krakow, July 16-22, 2009, Krakow, Poland

Z asymmetry (364 pb⁻¹)

