Nonperturbative QFT

Romuald A. Janik

Jagiellonian University
Kraków

2009 Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics
22 July 2009

Outline

1. **Solving (nonperturbatively) QFT's**
   - The quintessential example: sine-Gordon
   - QCD???
   - $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory
2. **The AdS/CFT correspondence and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM**
3. **Why is it interesting?**
4. **Methods at strong coupling**
5. **Towards an exact solution for any coupling**
6. **Example: Konishi anomalous dimension**
7. **Outlook**

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin\left(k\theta\right) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where
$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

- sine-Gordon theory is a two-dimensional QFT defined by the Lagrangian

$$S = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi + \frac{\mu^2}{\beta^2} \cos(\beta\Phi) \right\}$$

- Expanding $\cos(\beta\Phi)$ for small β generates an infinite set of vertices for Φ, one can develop perturbation theory...
- There exist solitons (and anti-solitons).. classical solutions, quadratic fluctuations...
- *But* the soliton-soliton S-matrix has been found analytically **for any** β

$$S(\theta) = -\exp\left\{ 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \sin(k\theta) \frac{\sinh(p-1)k}{2\cosh k \sinh pk} \right\}$$

where

$$p = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi - \beta^2}$$

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \rightarrow 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

The quintessential example: sine-Gordon

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

- How is it possible??
- The sine-Gordon theory is an example of an **integrable quantum field theory**
- These theories posses additional higher spin/nonlocal conserved charges
- As a consequence the S-matrix factorizes into $2 \to 2$ scatterings and obeys Yang-Baxter Equation which allows to *nonperturbatively* find it exactly
- All this works **only** in two dimensions! No generalization to four-dimensional theories exists...

Two-dimensional integrable QFT's become relevant for four-dimensional physics due to the AdS/CFT correspondence

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, ‘black box’)
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, ‘simpler’ gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, ‘black box’)
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, ‘simpler’ gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, ‘black box’)
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, ‘simpler’ gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

QCD

- Inherently difficult...
- Running coupling
- Mixture of perturbative and nonperturbative effects...

Traditional methods:

- Lattice QCD (inherently Euclidean, 'black box')
- Models of QCD vacuum
- Effective models (not *derivable* from the fundamental theory)

Look at other, 'simpler' gauge theories for which other methods exist...

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills$+4$ adjoint fermions $+6$ adjoint scalars$+$ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills$+4$ adjoint fermions $+6$ adjoint scalars$+$ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills+4 adjoint fermions +6 adjoint scalars+ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

Lot of progress has been made in the understanding of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills theory...

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills ($\equiv$ ordinary Yang-Mills$+4$ adjoint fermions $+6$ adjoint scalars$+$ appropriate self-interactions)
- This theory is
  1. supersymmetric
  2. conformal (scale-invariant even at the quantum level)
- Bonus: There exists an efficient way of studying this theory at strong coupling: the AdS/CFT (Anti-deSitter/Conformal Field Theory) correspondence

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory	$\equiv$	Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$
strong coupling nonperturbative physics very difficult weak coupling 'easy'		(semi-)classical strings or supergravity 'easy' highly quantum regime very difficult

- New ways of looking at nonperturbative gauge theory physics...
- Intricate links with General Relativity...

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory	$\equiv$	Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$
strong coupling nonperturbative physics very difficult weak coupling 'easy'		(semi-)classical strings or supergravity 'easy' highly quantum regime very difficult

- New ways of looking at nonperturbative gauge theory physics...
- Intricate links with General Relativity...

$\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang-Mills theory	$\equiv$	Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$
strong coupling nonperturbative physics very difficult weak coupling 'easy'		(semi-)classical strings or supergravity 'easy' highly quantum regime very difficult

- New ways of looking at nonperturbative gauge theory physics...
- Intricate links with General Relativity...

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

Why is it interesting?

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

Why is it interesting?

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories

D. Gross

Why is it interesting?

More theoretical perspective:

- Fascinating as it relates two *completely different* theoretical constructions: 4D gauge theory and string theory in 10D
- Use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM as a theoretical laboratory for studying nonperturbative gauge theory physics
- In this theory one can perform quite rigorous computations at strong coupling
- The natural language of the AdS/CFT correspondence appropriate to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is quite new w.r.t. conventional gauge theory methods
- Try to build some new physical intuitions within this new language

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ *SYM may be the 'harmonic oscillator' of four dimensional gauge theories*

D. Gross

More practical perspective:

- Although its behaviour is quite different from QCD at $T = 0$ (no confinement etc.), at *nonzero* temperature supersymmetry is automatically broken, the theory is deconfined
- Use the results on strong coupling properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma as a point of reference for analyzing/describing QCD plasma

  see talk by R. Peschanski in the Heavy-Ion session

  see plenary talk by U. Wiedemann later today
- Works directly in Minkowski signature
- In particular many gauge-theoretical problems are translated into geometrical General Relativity like questions which are tractable (even analytically)

More practical perspective:

- Although its behaviour is quite different from QCD at $T = 0$ (no confinement etc.), at *nonzero* temperature supersymmetry is automatically broken, the theory is deconfined
- Use the results on strong coupling properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma as a point of reference for analyzing/describing QCD plasma

see talk by R. Peschanski in the Heavy-Ion session

see plenary talk by U. Wiedemann later today

- Works directly in Minkowski signature
- In particular many gauge-theoretical problems are translated into geometrical General Relativity like questions which are tractable (even analytically)

More practical perspective:

- Although its behaviour is quite different from QCD at $T = 0$ (no confinement etc.), at *nonzero* temperature supersymmetry is automatically broken, the theory is deconfined
- Use the results on strong coupling properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma as a point of reference for analyzing/describing QCD plasma

  see talk by R. Peschanski in the Heavy-Ion session

  see plenary talk by U. Wiedemann later today
- Works directly in Minkowski signature
- In particular many gauge-theoretical problems are translated into geometrical General Relativity like questions which are tractable (even analytically)

More practical perspective:

- Although its behaviour is quite different from QCD at $T = 0$ (no confinement etc.), at *nonzero* temperature supersymmetry is automatically broken, the theory is deconfined
- Use the results on strong coupling properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma as a point of reference for analyzing/describing QCD plasma

see talk by R. Peschanski in the Heavy-Ion session

see plenary talk by U. Wiedemann later today

- Works directly in Minkowski signature
- In particular many gauge-theoretical problems are translated into geometrical General Relativity like questions which are tractable (even analytically)

More practical perspective:

- Although its behaviour is quite different from QCD at $T = 0$ (no confinement etc.), at *nonzero* temperature supersymmetry is automatically broken, the theory is deconfined
- Use the results on strong coupling properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma as a point of reference for analyzing/describing QCD plasma

  see talk by R. Peschanski in the Heavy-Ion session

  see plenary talk by U. Wiedemann later today
- Works directly in Minkowski signature
- In particular many gauge-theoretical problems are translated into geometrical General Relativity like questions which are tractable (even analytically)

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

What degrees of freedom appear on the string side???

- Vibrational modes of the string $\equiv$ particles/fields in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- Massless modes $\equiv$ graviton$+\ldots$
- At strong coupling massive modes are very heavy $\longrightarrow$ it is enough to restrict oneself to dynamics of massless modes $\equiv$ gravity
- At weaker coupling massive string modes become important...

Is there hope for an exact solution at any coupling???

How to describe strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ $\equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

How to describe strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ $\equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

How to describe strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

How to describe strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$?

- Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are *quantum fields* $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma)$ on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT)
- It turns out that this worldsheet QFT is *integrable* and one can expect to solve this theory *exactly* for any coupling! (recall sine-Gordon...)

Towards an exact solution for any coupling

What do we mean by 'solve'?

Local operators in gauge theory	$\longleftrightarrow$	String states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
Operator dimension $\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{\|x-y\|^{2\Delta}}$	$\longleftrightarrow$	Energy of the corresponding string state

One has to find the energy levels of an *integrable* two-dimensional QFT...

What do we mean by 'solve'?

Local operators in gauge theory	$\longleftrightarrow$	String states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
Operator dimension $\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{\lvert x-y\rvert^{2\Delta}}$	$\longleftrightarrow$	Energy of the corresponding string state

One has to find the energy levels of an *integrable* two-dimensional QFT...

Towards an exact solution for any coupling

What do we mean by 'solve'?

Local operators in gauge theory	$\longleftrightarrow$	String states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
Operator dimension $$\langle O(x)O(y)\rangle = \frac{const}{\lvert x-y\rvert^{2\Delta}}$$	$\longleftrightarrow$	Energy of the corresponding string state

One has to find the energy levels of an *integrable* two-dimensional QFT...

Towards an exact solution for any coupling

What do we mean by 'solve'?

Local operators in gauge theory	$\longleftrightarrow$	String states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
Operator dimension $$\langle O(x) O(y) \rangle = \frac{const}{|x-y|^{2\Delta}}$$	$\longleftrightarrow$	Energy of the corresponding string state

One has to find the energy levels of an *integrable* two-dimensional QFT...

Example: Konishi anomalous dimension

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\, \Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\, Z^2 X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\, Z D^2 Z + \ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:

and

- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z + \ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:

and

- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

Example: Konishi anomalous dimension

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z + \ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:



and



- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

Example: Konishi anomalous dimension

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z + \ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:



and



- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2+\ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z+\ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:



and



- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

Example: Konishi anomalous dimension

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2+\ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z+\ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:



and



- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon

Example: Konishi anomalous dimension

- Simplest nonprotected operator: the Konishi operator

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\Phi_i^2 \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,Z^2X^2 + \ldots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{tr}\,ZD^2Z + \ldots$$

- When computing anomalous dimensions from two point functions there are two types of graphs:



and



- The first class is contained in the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz of Beisert and Staudacher
- The second class are 'wrapping interactions' which start to appear at order g^{2L} (these are not contained in the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz)
- Last year these wrapping graphs were computed at 4 loops by F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon



Figure C.1: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1,2,3)$



Figure C.2: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1,3,2)$

$W_{C1} \rightarrow *$	1	$W_{C4} \rightarrow$ finite	
$W_{C2} \rightarrow *$	2	$W_{C5} \rightarrow -W_{C3}$	
$W_{C3} \rightarrow -W_{C5}$		$W_{C6} \rightarrow$ finite	

Table C.2: Results of D-algebra for diagrams with structure $\chi(1,3,2)$



Figure C.3: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(2,1,3)$

$W_{E1} =$  $W_{E2} =$  $W_{E3} =$  $W_{E4} =$ 

$W_{E5} =$  $W_{E6} =$  $W_{E7} =$  $W_{E8} =$ 

$W_{E9} =$  $W_{E10} =$  $W_{E11} =$  $W_{E12} =$ 

$W_{E13} =$  $W_{E14} =$  $W_{E15} =$  $W_{E16} =$ 

$W_{E17} =$  $W_{E18} =$  $W_{E19} =$  $W_{E20} =$ 

$W_{E21} =$  $W_{E22} =$  $W_{E23} =$  $W_{E24} =$ 

$W_{E25} =$  $W_{E26} =$  $W_{E27} =$ 

$W_{F1} =$  $W_{F2} =$  $W_{F3} =$  $W_{F4} =$ 

$W_{F5} =$  $W_{F6} =$  $W_{F7} =$  $W_{F8} =$ 

$W_{F9} =$  $W_{F10} =$  $W_{F11} =$  $W_{F12} =$ 

$W_{F13} =$  $W_{F14} =$  $W_{F15} =$  $W_{F16} =$ 

$W_{F17} =$  $W_{F18} =$  $W_{F19} =$  $W_{F20} =$ 

$W_{F21} =$  $W_{F22} =$  $W_{F23} =$  $W_{F24} =$ 

$W_{F25} =$  $W_{F26} =$  $W_{F27} =$  $W_{F28} =$ 

$W_{F29} =$  $W_{F30} =$  $W_{F31} =$  $W_{F32} =$ 

$W_{F33} =$  $W_{F34} =$  $W_{F35} =$  $W_{F36} =$ 

$W_{F37} =$ 


$W_{G1} =$
$W_{G2} =$
$W_{G3} =$
$W_{G4} =$
$W_{G5} =$
$W_{G6} =$
$W_{G7} =$
$W_{G8} =$
$W_{G9} =$
$W_{G10} =$
$W_{G11} =$
$W_{G12} =$
$W_{G13} =$
$W_{G14} =$
$W_{G15} =$
$W_{G16} =$
$W_{G17} =$
$W_{G18} =$
$W_{G19} =$
$W_{G20} =$
$W_{G21} =$
$W_{G22} =$
$W_{G23} =$
$W_{G24} =$
$W_{G25} =$
$W_{G26} =$
$W_{G27} =$
$W_{G28} =$
$W_{G29} =$
$W_{G30} =$
$W_{G31} =$
$W_{G32} =$
$W_{G33} =$
$W_{G34} =$
$W_{G35} =$
$W_{G36} =$
$W_{G37} =$
$W_{G38} =$
$W_{G39} =$
$W_{G40} =$



Figure C.6: Wrapping diagrams with chiral structure $\chi(1)$ (continued)

$I_1 = J_1 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(-\frac{1}{24\varepsilon^4}+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^3}-\frac{19}{24\varepsilon^2}+\frac{5}{4\varepsilon}\Big)$

$I_2 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(-\frac{1}{24\varepsilon^4}+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^3}-\frac{19}{24\varepsilon^2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{5}{4}-\zeta(3)\Big)\Big)$

$I_3 = J_5 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(-\frac{1}{12\varepsilon^4}+\frac{1}{3\varepsilon^3}-\frac{5}{12\varepsilon^2}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\zeta(3)\Big)\Big)$

$I_4 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(-\frac{1}{6\varepsilon^4}+\frac{1}{3\varepsilon^3}+\frac{1}{3\varepsilon^2}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1-\zeta(3))\Big)$

$I_5 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}5\zeta(5)$

$I_6 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(\frac{1}{12\varepsilon^2}-\frac{7}{12\varepsilon}\Big)$ $\quad I_7 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-\zeta(3))$

$I_8 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\Big(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}-\frac{11}{12\varepsilon}\Big)$ $\quad I_9 =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)-\frac{5}{2}\zeta(5)\Big)$

$I_{10} =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)+\frac{5}{2}\zeta(5)\Big)$

$I_{11} =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{2}\zeta(3)+\frac{5}{2}\zeta(5)\Big)$

$I_{12} =$  $= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^8}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(-\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{4}\zeta(3)+\frac{5}{4}\zeta(5)\Big)$

Table C.8: Loop integrals for 4-loop wrapping diagrams. The arrows of the same type indicate contracted spacetime derivatives

- The final result for the wrapping part at 4 loops is

$$\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

Compute the same 4-loop anomalous dimension from string theory

- The final result for the wrapping part at 4 loops is

$$\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

Compute the same 4-loop anomalous dimension from string theory

[Bajnok,RJ]

- The Konishi operator corresponds to a two particle state in the 2D worldsheet QFT of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- The wrapping graphs contributing at 4-loops correspond to a *single* ‘virtual’ graph:

- This corresponds to a simple expression

$$\Delta_w^{(4-loop)} = -\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} Y_Q(q)$$

where $Y_Q(q)$ is a relatively simple rational function of q and Q

[Bajnok,RJ]

- The Konishi operator corresponds to a two particle state in the 2D worldsheet QFT of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- The wrapping graphs contributing at 4-loops correspond to a *single* 'virtual' graph:
- This corresponds to a simple expression

$$\Delta_w^{(4-loop)} = -\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} Y_Q(q)$$

where $Y_Q(q)$ is a relatively simple rational function of q and Q

The Konishi operator from string theory

[Bajnok,RJ]

- The Konishi operator corresponds to a two particle state in the 2D worldsheet QFT of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- The wrapping graphs contributing at 4-loops correspond to a *single* 'virtual' graph:



- This corresponds to a simple expression

$$\Delta_w^{(4-loop)} = -\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} Y_Q(q)$$

where $Y_Q(q)$ is a relatively simple rational function of q and Q

[Bajnok,RJ]

- The Konishi operator corresponds to a two particle state in the 2D worldsheet QFT of the string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- The wrapping graphs contributing at 4-loops correspond to a *single* ‘virtual’ graph:



- This corresponds to a simple expression

$$\Delta_w^{(4-loop)} = -\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} Y_Q(q)$$

where $Y_Q(q)$ is a relatively simple rational function of q and Q

- The integral can be easily done by residues giving a remaining sum

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{num(Q)}{(9Q^4-3Q^2+1)^4(27Q^6-27Q^4+36Q^2+16)} + \frac{864}{Q^3} - \frac{1440}{Q^5} \right\}$$

where

$$num(Q) = 7776Q(19683Q^{18} - 78732Q^{16} + 150903Q^{14} - 134865Q^{12} + \\ + 1458Q^{10} + 48357Q^8 - 13311Q^6 - 1053Q^4 + 369Q^2 - 10)$$

- This can be summed up giving

$$\Delta_{wrapping} E = (324 + 864\zeta(3) - 1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

which exactly agrees with the direct 4-loop perturbative computation of [F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon]

- The integral can be easily done by residues giving a remaining sum

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty}\left\{-\frac{num(Q)}{(9Q^4-3Q^2+1)^4\,(27Q^6-27Q^4+36Q^2+16)}+\frac{864}{Q^3}-\frac{1440}{Q^5}\right\}$$

where

$$num(Q) = 7776Q(19683Q^{18}-78732Q^{16}+150903Q^{14}-134865Q^{12}+ \\ +1458Q^{10}+48357Q^8-13311Q^6-1053Q^4+369Q^2-10)$$

- This can be summed up giving

$$\Delta_{wrapping}E = (324+864\zeta(3)-1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

which exactly agrees with the direct 4-loop perturbative computation of [F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon]

- The integral can be easily done by residues giving a remaining sum

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty}\left\{-\frac{num(Q)}{(9Q^4-3Q^2+1)^4\,(27Q^6-27Q^4+36Q^2+16)}+\frac{864}{Q^3}-\frac{1440}{Q^5}\right\}$$

where

$$num(Q) = 7776Q(19683Q^{18}-78732Q^{16}+150903Q^{14}-134865Q^{12}+ \\ +1458Q^{10}+48357Q^{8}-13311Q^{6}-1053Q^{4}+369Q^{2}-10)$$

- This can be summed up giving

$$\Delta_{wrapping}E = (324+864\zeta(3)-1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

which exactly agrees with the direct 4-loop perturbative computation of [F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon]

- The integral can be easily done by residues giving a remaining sum

$$\sum_{Q=1}^{\infty}\left\{-\frac{num(Q)}{(9Q^4-3Q^2+1)^4(27Q^6-27Q^4+36Q^2+16)}+\frac{864}{Q^3}-\frac{1440}{Q^5}\right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} num(Q) =& 7776Q(19683Q^{18}-78732Q^{16}+150903Q^{14}-134865Q^{12}+\\ &+1458Q^{10}+48357Q^8-13311Q^6-1053Q^4+369Q^2-10)\end{aligned}$$

- This can be summed up giving

$$\Delta_{wrapping}E=(324+864\zeta(3)-1440\zeta(5))g^8$$

which exactly agrees with the direct 4-loop perturbative computation of [F.Fiamberti, A.Santambrogio, C.Sieg and D.Zanon]

The Konishi operator from string theory

- We extended the string computation to give the result up to 5 loops (no gauge theoretical computation so far [Bajnok,Hegedus,RJ,Łukowski]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 + 12\,g^2 - 48\,g^4 + 336\,g^6 + 96(-26 + 6\,\zeta(3) - 15\,\zeta(5))\,g^8 \\ &\quad -96(-158 - 72\,\zeta(3) + 54\,\zeta(3)^2 + 90\,\zeta(5) - 315\,\zeta(7))\,g^{10}\end{aligned}$$

- This could be extended to twist two operators at 4 loops [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]
- Nontrivial relations with BFKL and NLO BFKL equations...

The Konishi operator from string theory

- We extended the string computation to give the result up to 5 loops (no gauge theoretical computation so far [Bajnok,Hegedus,RJ,Łukowski]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 + 12\, g^2 - 48\, g^4 + 336\, g^6 + 96(-26 + 6\,\zeta(3) - 15\,\zeta(5))\, g^8 \\ &\quad -96(-158 - 72\,\zeta(3) + 54\,\zeta(3)^2 + 90\,\zeta(5) - 315\,\zeta(7))\, g^{10}\end{aligned}$$

- This could be extended to twist two operators at 4 loops [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]
- Nontrivial relations with BFKL and NLO BFKL equations...

- We extended the string computation to give the result up to 5 loops (no gauge theoretical computation so far [Bajnok,Hegedus,RJ,Łukowski]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 + 12\, g^2 - 48\, g^4 + 336\, g^6 + 96(-26 + 6\,\zeta(3) - 15\,\zeta(5))\, g^8 \\ &\quad -96(-158 - 72\,\zeta(3) + 54\,\zeta(3)^2 + 90\,\zeta(5) - 315\,\zeta(7))\, g^{10}\end{aligned}$$

- This could be extended to twist two operators at 4 loops [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]
- Nontrivial relations with BFKL and NLO BFKL equations...

The Konishi operator from string theory

- We extended the string computation to give the result up to 5 loops (no gauge theoretical computation so far [Bajnok,Hegedus,RJ,Łukowski]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 + 12\,g^2 - 48\,g^4 + 336\,g^6 + 96(-26 + 6\,\zeta(3) - 15\,\zeta(5))\,g^8 \\ &\quad -96(-158 - 72\,\zeta(3) + 54\,\zeta(3)^2 + 90\,\zeta(5) - 315\,\zeta(7))\,g^{10}\end{aligned}$$

- This could be extended to twist two operators at 4 loops [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]
- Nontrivial relations with BFKL and NLO BFKL equations...

- We extended the string computation to give the result up to 5 loops (no gauge theoretical computation so far [Bajnok,Hegedus,RJ,Łukowski]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 + 12\, g^2 - 48\, g^4 + 336\, g^6 + 96(-26 + 6\,\zeta(3) - 15\,\zeta(5))\, g^8 \\ &\quad -96(-158 - 72\,\zeta(3) + 54\,\zeta(3)^2 + 90\,\zeta(5) - 315\,\zeta(7))\, g^{10}\end{aligned}$$

- This could be extended to twist two operators at 4 loops [Bajnok,RJ,Łukowski]
- Nontrivial relations with BFKL and NLO BFKL equations...

The Konishi operator from string theory

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*

  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]
- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling
- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

The Konishi operator from string theory

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*
  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]
- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling
- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*
  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]
- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling

- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

The Konishi operator from string theory

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*

  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]

- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling



- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

The Konishi operator from string theory

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*
  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]
- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling



- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

The Konishi operator from string theory

- Recently several groups proposed (infinte) sets of coupled nonlinear integral equations which should work for *any coupling*

  [Arutyunov,Frolov],[Bombardelli,Fioravanti,Tateo],[Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira]
- [Gromov,Kazakov,Vieira] proposed concrete equations for the dimension of the Konishi operator for any coupling



- Still some issues to understand - source terms; possibility of reducing the number of equations to a finite number
- **Goal:** *(within reach)* – calculate anomalous dimensions for any coupling!!

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)

Outlook

- The agreement of the Konishi computation with the 4-loop weak coupling perturbative gauge theory result is an extremely nontrivial test of AdS/CFT!
- The result came from a single diagram – in contrast to direct perturbative computations in gauge theory which are much more complex
- This suggests that one can use string theory methods of AdS/CFT as an efficient calculational tool also at *weak coupling*
- The AdS/CFT correspondence allows to use methods of exactly solvable integrable two-dimensional QFT's to study the four-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory
- We may be very close to the complete solution for the spectrum of the theory ($\equiv$ anomalous dimensions at any coupling)