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## PEP-II and BABAR



SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory


| $\sqrt{s}$ | $\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | Events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Upsilon(4 \mathrm{~S})$ | 433 | $475 \mathrm{M} B \bar{B}$ |
| Off res. | 54 |  |
| $\Upsilon(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | 30 | 122 M |
| $\Upsilon(2 \mathrm{~S})$ | 14 | 110 M |
| Total | 531 |  |
|  |  |  |
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## BABAR has good p, E resolution, and particle id.

## EM calorimeter 6580 CsI (TI) crystals

RPC / LST flux return ( $\mu, K_{\mathrm{L}}$ )
Solenoid 1.5 T magnetic field


Cherenkov light detector 144 quartz bars, I 1000 PMTs
Drift chamber 40 layers
Silicon vertex tracker 5 layers, 2-sided strips


## Study of baryonic $B$ decays

$$
B \rightarrow D^{(*)} p \bar{p}, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi \pi
$$



3-body decay


Using 455M $B \bar{B}$ pairs

> | PRL 862732 |
| :--- |
| PRL 89 I $51802(01)$ |
| PRD 74051 (02) |
| 106$)$ |

- Do these br. fractions follow a pattern?
- Do these show interesting decay dynamics?


## Theory references

BF is large! Dunietz............... PRD 580556
(98)

Curr. model Chua, Hou, Tsai.. PRD 65034003 (02)
Pole model Cheng, Yang........ PRD 66094009 (02)
Fragment. Rosner................ PRD 68014004 (03)
5-quark
4-quark Jaffe,Wilczek....... PRL 9 I 232003 (03)

Pole model Cheng
Pole model Cheng, et al........ PRD 78054016 (08)

| Decays to $D^{*}$ | Body | BF $\left(10^{-4}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{0} p \bar{p}$ <br> $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{* 0} p \bar{p}$ | 3 | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | $1.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ |  |  |
| $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ |  |  |
| $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ | 4 | $3.4 \pm 0.3$ |
|  |  | $4.8 \pm 0.5$ |
| $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{* 0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ |  |  |
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Four modes: $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{(*)} p \bar{p}, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi$
Instead of $\mathrm{m}=\sqrt{E_{B}^{2}-\mathbf{p}_{B}^{2}}$, utilize beam energy


Show $D^{* 0} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^{0} \quad D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}$

$$
D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^{+} D^{+} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}
$$

For the study, also reconstruct

$$
K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}
$$
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## BABAR event display of $B^{0} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{0} p \bar{p}$ <br> $$
\longleftrightarrow^{P P} K^{+} \pi^{-}
$$

The PEP-II/BaBar B-Factory Run: 40586
Timestamp: 7f:4fff7fff:2cf985/36e9e1eb:Q
Date Taken: Mon Sep 29 05:08:16.177022000 2003 PDT Her: 8.995 GeV , LER: 3.110 GeV
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## Six NEW modes: $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi \pi$
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## Branching fractions

| N-body | Decay | BF $\pm$ stat $\pm$ syst ( $10^{-4}$ ) | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {sig }}$ | $\mathrm{BF}\left(10^{-4}\right)$5 |  | $\square \mathrm{B0}$ to D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{0} p \bar{p}$ | $1.02 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$ | 1230 |  |  | B0 to D* B- to D |
|  | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{* 0} p \bar{p}$ | $0.97 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.08$ | 353 |  |  | B- to D* |
| 4 | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ | $3.32 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.27$ | 1816 | 4 |  |  |
|  | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ | $4.55 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.37$ | 1371 | 3 |  |  |
|  | $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ | $3.72 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.23$ | 1871 |  |  |  |
|  | $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{* 0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-}$ | $3.73 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.40$ | 366 |  |  | 3.0 |
| 5 | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | $2.99 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.44$ | 3550 | 01.0 |  | 1.71 .9 |
|  | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{* 0} p \bar{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | $1.91 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.30$ | 1153 | . 3.0 |  |  |
|  | $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{+} p \bar{p} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$ | $1.66 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.27$ | 475 | 3 body | 4 body | 5 body |

In red are new observations . This column gives the signal sample size

Why is 4-body BF so large?
Let's look at kinematic distributions.
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## 3-body decays

## 5-body decays







This and other 5-body distributions are similar to phase space expectations.
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## 4-body decay $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow D^{+} p \pi^{-}$ <br> Opposite-sign

Fit opposite-sign sample with Use like-sign sample to get
Background pdf + floating B-W Background pdf only


Fit opposite-sign sample with Background pdf +4 known $N^{*}$
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## BF \& Dalitz plot study

## of $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$



## $D_{J}$ states test HQET predictions

Isgur,Wise..PL-B 232 ||3 (89) Neubert..... PR 245259 (94)
chg $J^{P}$ allows $D_{0,2}^{*} \rightarrow D \pi$, not $D_{1}, D_{1}^{\prime}$ $D_{0}^{* 0}$ not yet confirmed in PDG $J$
$\longleftarrow$

| Expt. | $\mathrm{m}\left(D_{0}^{* 0}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus, $\gamma \mathrm{A}$ | $2407 \pm 21 \pm 35$ | PL-B 586 \|| (04) |
| Belle, $60 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | $2308 \pm 17 \pm 32$ | PRD 69 \| I2002 (04) |
| This, $343 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | $2297 \pm 8 \pm 20$ | PRD 79 I I2004 (09) |

MeV/cs $\operatorname{stat}^{2 L^{2 t}}$
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## $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-} \quad$ BF \& Dalitz plot analysis



## Branching fraction analysis

|  | BF $\left(10^{-3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| This $343 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | $1.08 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$ |
| Belle $60 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ | $1.02 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.15$ |

## Dalitz plot analysis

Use 2-D isobar model for signal $B$

$$
\mathfrak{M}=\sum_{\text {Res }} e^{i \phi} R+\sum_{\text {Nonres }} e^{i \varphi} N
$$

Breit-Wigner $\times$ angular for Nonresonant $D_{J}$ \& Off-shell $D^{*}(2007) \quad B$ signal $B^{*}(5325)$
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$D_{J}$ resonances in $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$


## Summary

- Study of $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} p \bar{p}, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi, D^{(*)} p \bar{p} \pi \pi$

BF of ten decays (six are new observations)
BF pattern shows 3-body < 5-body < 4-body

3-body:Threshold enhancement $D^{(*)} p, p \bar{p}$
5-body: Similar to phase space expectations 4-body: Narrow $p \pi^{-}$peak near $1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$

## PRD in preparation

- Study of $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{-}$and $D_{0}^{* 0}, D_{2}^{* 0}$

BF is 3 x improvement of prev. result Measure $D_{0}^{* 0}, D_{2}^{* 0}$ mass, width, \& spin Confirmation of the $D_{0}^{* 0}$ state


