Charmonium resonance production from quark coalescence

Gergő Hamar, Péter Lévai (MTA KFKI RMKI, Budapest)

July 2009. EPS HEP 2009, Cracow, Poland

Outlook

- Experimental data on resonances
- Quark coalescence models
- Problems with resonance coalescence
- Relativistic coalescence for resonances
- Charmonia production
- Results
- Expected yields
- Summary

Resonance measurements

- NA49
 - data on K*(892), $\Lambda(1520)$, $\phi(1020)$
 - resonance yields differ from thermal model predictions (difference increases with increasing widths)
 P.Seyboth J.Phys.G 35 104008 (2008)
- RHIC

- data on ρ(770), K*(892), ϕ (1020), Σ*(1385), Ξ*(1530), Λ(1520), ...

EPS HEP 2009, Cracow

Hadronization – Quark coalescence

- Fast hadronization process
- v_2 scaling ~ valence quark scaling ~ quark coalescence
- ALCOR (Bíró, Lévai, Zimányi 1995)
 - describes hadron yields at SPS and RHIC
- MICOR (Csizmadia, Lévai 1999)
 - describes p_{T} spectra for hadrons
- **Recombination models** (2003)
 - Hwa, Yang; Greco, Ko, Lévai; Fries, Müller, Bass ...
- Resonance coalescence (Hamar, Lévai 2008)
 - allows resonance production

MICOR model

- Microscopic rehadronization
- Quantum mechanics based:

$$g_{gh} = V_g \frac{-M_{h,Q'}}{2\pi} \int d^3 \vec{x}_1 d^3 \vec{x}_2 \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^*(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) V(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2) \phi_1(\vec{x}_1) \phi_2(\vec{x}_2)$$

• Prehadron production rate:

$$\langle \sigma^h v \rangle = \frac{\int d^3 \vec{p_1} d^3 \vec{p_2} \cdot f_q(m_1, \vec{p_1}) f_q(m_2, \vec{p_2})(\sigma(k) v_{12})}{\int d^3 \vec{p_1} d^3 \vec{p_2} \cdot f_q(m_1, \vec{p_1}) f_q(m_2, \vec{p_2})}$$

- Requires quasi-particle momentum distribution!
- Prehadron --> Hadron
- Produces the meson octet and the baryon decuplet
- Protons, pions, ... came from the decays

Problems with resonance production at coalescence models

- Resonance <=> higher hadron mass
- MICOR hadron masses gives the meson octet :

$$- M_{h} = m_{q1} + m_{q2}$$

• Production rate would increase with the prehadron mass

$$- M_{h} \sim g_{gh} \implies <\sigma v > \sim |g_{gh}|^{2} \sim M_{h}^{2}$$

- Resonance extension would be sorely sensitive to the slightly known high energy resonances (proper decays, mass, width; still unknown reson.)
- Solution : use the real relativistic kinematics! Thus we can obtain higher invariant masses

Invariant mass

• Massive quasi-particle collision with relativistic kinematics

$$m_{prehadron} = \| p_1^{\mu} + p_2^{\mu} \| = \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2}$$

• Requires initial quark momentum distribution (one can choose: Boltzman, <u>Jüttner</u>, Tsallis, ...)



Pre-mesonic invariant mass spectra

Invariant mass spectrum for given quantum numbers (Q): $J^{Q}(m) = \int d^{3}\vec{p}_{1} \int d^{3}\vec{p}_{2} f(m_{1},\vec{p}_{1}) f(m_{2},\vec{p}_{2}) \delta(m - ||p_{1}^{\mu} + p_{2}^{\mu}||)$



8

Pre-mesonic invariant mass probabilities

Thermal like exponential decrease at high masses (due to Jüttner d.)



Binded (qq) momentum distributions

Local frame momentum distibution for a given mass prehadron becomes calculatable



Mass distribution of the K-resonances



Selecting the proper resonance

- $q+\bar{q} \rightarrow (q\bar{q})$ with: mass = invariant mass
- Which resonance could appear from a $(q\bar{q})$ state?
 - Resonance width characterizes the decay
 - Reverse: Use the same width for production channels
- Define the appearance of a resonance at mass = m
- Probability to produce hadron resonance "H" from (qq) invariant mass m_{qq} :

$$\boldsymbol{P}(H|m_{qq}) = \frac{\exp((m_H - m_{qq})^2/2s_H^2)}{\sum_h \exp((m_h - m_{qq})^2/2s_h^2)}$$

EPS HEP 2009, Cracow

Appearance of resonances



Baryons and Flavours

- **Prebaryons** are made from a **diquark and a quark**
 - qqq invariant mass spectrum (similar to qq)
 - Baryon resonances, width, appearance, ...
- New flavours can be inserted without problem
 - Requires mass: m_{new},

and momentum distribution: $f_{new}(m_{new},p)$

- Hadronic resonance properties with the new flavour
- Primary new flavour production (via fit)

Predictions at RHIC

• Parity suppression can be checked with

 $-\phi(1020) <->\phi(1680),$

without parity suppression $\phi(1680) / \phi(1020) = 0.22$

 $-\Sigma(1385)$ <-> $\Lambda(1520)$

without parity suppression $\Lambda(1520)/\Sigma(1385) = 0.91$

• Expected yields for resonances with small width

$$- \rho_3(1690) / \phi(1020) = 0.10$$

$$-\Sigma(1660) / \Sigma(1385) = 0.55$$

Charm sector

- Insert charm quark into the model
 - mass -> m_{charm}
 - f(m,p) -> Jüttner d.
 - charm decay table
- Charmonia
 - interesting
 - measurable



Charmonia

- Lighter charmonium resonances are sparsed heaviers cover the mass scale quiet densely
- Higher premeson mass becomes sufficient
- Temperature and charm mass could be more important



Temperature dependence

As temperature rises some higher mass resonances thrive Final J/ ψ yield is approximately independent

Charm mass dependence

- Strong charm mass dependence due to the special structure of the mass specrum of charmonium resonces
- Charm mass influences the net charm prodution too

Conclusion

- Resonance coalescence model can be constructed
 - Relativistic kinematics <=> prehadron mass spectrum
 - Near thermal suppression for high masses
 - Hadron selection via decay widths
- Successful application for meson and baryon resonances
- Method is applicable for all flavours
 - up, down, strange and charm sector have been included
- **Charmonia sector :** special T and m_c effects
- Predictions for measurable hadron resonance ratios