

# Construction of the ground state of Matrix Theory: Near the Origin

Maciej Trzetrzelewski,  
Jagiellonian University

J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm, M.T., arXiv:0803.1316,  
arXiv:0809.5270, arXiv:0809.5271

# Plan

1. Matrix Theory
2. The construction of the ground state near the origin
3. Summary

# Matrix Theory

- ▶ - membranes - Dirac 62'
  - quantum membranes  $\rightarrow$  YMQM - Goldstone, Hoppe 82'
  - supermembranes  $\rightarrow$  SYMQM - de Wit, Hoppe, Nicolai 87'
  - femoto-universe of YM  $\rightarrow$  YMQM - Bjorken 79'
  - small volume YM  $\rightarrow$  Lüscher - 82'
  - toy model - SYMQM - Claudson, Halpern 85'
  - M theory on a light cone in the IMF  $\rightarrow$  SYMQM
  - Banks, Fischler, Shenker, Susskind 97'

# Supermembranes

- ▶ quantum description  $\rightarrow$  matrix regularization:

$$H_{reg.} = Tr \left( P_s P_s - \frac{1}{2} [X_s, X_t] [X_s, X_t] - i X_s \theta \gamma^s \theta \right)$$

$$X_s = x_{sA} T_A, \quad P_s = p_{sA} T_A, \quad \theta_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha A} T_A, \quad T_A \in su(N)$$

$$[X_{sA}, p_{tB}] = i \delta_{st} \delta_{AB}, \quad \{\theta_{\alpha A}, \theta_{\beta B}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{AB},$$

$$\gamma^s - 16 \times 16, \text{ real}$$

$$s, t = 1, \dots, 9, \quad A, B = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 16$$

$$G_A|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad G_A = f_{ABC} \left( x_{sB} p_{sC} - \frac{i}{2} \theta_{\alpha B} \theta_{\alpha C} \right)$$

-de Wit, Hoppe, Nicolai, 87'

- ▶ Does the ground state exist?  $Q_\alpha \Psi = 0$

$$2H\delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = (-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} X_s B X_t C) \theta_{\alpha A}$$

- Witten index computations suggest YES

Yi 97', Sethi, Stern 98', Moore, Nekrasov, Shatashvili 98'

- ▶ Does the ground state exist?  $Q_\alpha \Psi = 0$

$$2H\delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = (-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} x_{sB} x_{tC}) \theta_{\alpha A}$$

- Witten index computations suggest YES

Yi 97', Sethi, Stern 98', Moore, Nekrasov, Shatashvili 98'

- ▶ Can it be constructed?

- asymptotically (large  $x_{sA}$ ) - Bach, Bordemann, Fröhlich, Graf, Halpern, Hasler, Hoppe, Konechny, Lundholm, Plefka, Schwartz, Suter, Yau - 97'-07'

- small  $x_{sA}$  ...

# Construction of the ground state near the origin

- ▶ Taylor expansion

$$\Psi(x, \theta) = \psi^{(0)} + x_{sA} \psi_{sA}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} x_{sA} x_{tB} \psi_{sA tB}^{(2)} + \dots$$

# Construction of the ground state near the origin

- ▶ Taylor expansion

$$\Psi(x, \theta) = \psi^{(0)} + x_{sA} \psi_{sA}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} x_{sA} x_{tB} \psi_{sA tB}^{(2)} + \dots$$

- ▶  $Q_\beta \Psi = 0$  gives 3 towers (for  $\psi^{(3k)}$ ,  $\psi^{(3k+1)}$  i  $\psi^{(3k+2)}$ )

$$\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA,tB}^{(2)} = 0,$$

$$-i \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA,tB,uC}^{(3)} + f_{ABC} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{tu} \theta_{\alpha A} \psi^{(0)} = 0 \quad \text{etc}$$



# Construction of the ground state near the origin

- ▶ Taylor expansion

$$\Psi(x, \theta) = \psi^{(0)} + x_{sA} \psi_{sA}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} x_{sA} x_{tB} \psi_{sA tB}^{(2)} + \dots$$

- ▶  $Q_\beta \Psi = 0$  gives 3 towers (for  $\psi^{(3k)}$ ,  $\psi^{(3k+1)}$  i  $\psi^{(3k+2)}$ )

$$\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA,tB}^{(2)} = 0,$$

$$-i \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \theta_{\alpha A} \psi_{sA,tB,uC}^{(3)} + f_{ABC} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{tu} \theta_{\alpha A} \psi^{(0)} = 0 \quad \text{etc}$$

- ▶ what about  $\psi^{(0)}$ ?  $\Psi$  is a  $SO(9)$  singlet  
-Hasler, Hoppe 02'

- ▶ for  $SU(N = 2)$ :

$$\underbrace{f_{ABC}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha B}}_{SU(2)}\psi^{(0)} = 0, \quad \underbrace{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha A}}_{SO(9)}\psi^{(0)} = 0$$

- ▶ for  $SU(N = 2)$ :

$$\underbrace{f_{ABC}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha B}}_{SU(2)}\psi^{(0)} = 0, \quad \underbrace{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha A}}_{SO(9)}\psi^{(0)} = 0$$

- ▶ existence and uniqueness of  $\psi^{(0)}$

- J. Wosiek 05'

In the Fock space  $(\theta_{\alpha A} \rightarrow f_{\hat{\alpha}A}^\dagger, f_{\hat{\alpha}A})$  we look for an algebraic combination of  $SU(2)$  invariants  $f_{\hat{\alpha}A}^\dagger f_{\hat{\beta}A}^\dagger$  which are  $SO(9)$  invariant.

- ▶ for  $SU(N = 2)$ :

$$\underbrace{f_{ABC}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha B}}_{SU(2)}\psi^{(0)} = 0, \quad \underbrace{\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st}\theta_{\alpha A}\theta_{\alpha A}}_{SO(9)}\psi^{(0)} = 0$$

- ▶ existence and uniqueness of  $\psi^{(0)}$

- J. Wosiek 05'

In the Fock space ( $\theta_{\alpha A} \rightarrow f_{\hat{\alpha}A}^\dagger, f_{\hat{\alpha}A}$ ) we look for an algebraic combination of  $SU(2)$  invariants  $f_{\hat{\alpha}A}^\dagger f_{\hat{\beta}A}^\dagger$  which are  $SO(9)$  invariant.

- ▶ paper-pencil derivation of  $\psi^{(0)}$  ?

- ▶ the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{256,A=1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=3}$

- ▶ the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{256,A=1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=3}$
- ▶ under  $SO(9)$ ,  $256 = 44 + 84 + 128$   
 $\rightarrow |st\rangle, |stu\rangle, |s\alpha\rangle$

- ▶ the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{256,A=1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=3}$
- ▶ under  $SO(9)$ ,  $256 = 44 + 84 + 128$   
 $\rightarrow |st\rangle, |stu\rangle, |s\alpha\rangle$
- ▶  $\psi^{(0)}$  could be eg.

$$|su\rangle_1 |tu\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |s\alpha\rangle_1 |t\alpha\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |suv\rangle_1 |tuv\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3$$

- ▶ the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{256,A=1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=3}$
- ▶ under  $SO(9)$ ,  $256 = 44 + 84 + 128$   
 $\rightarrow |st\rangle, |stu\rangle, |s\alpha\rangle$
- ▶  $\psi^{(0)}$  could be eg.

$$|su\rangle_1 |tu\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |s\alpha\rangle_1 |t\alpha\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |suv\rangle_1 |tuv\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3$$

- ▶ we need  $\theta_{\alpha A} |st\rangle_A = ?$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha A} |stu\rangle_A = ?$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha A} |i\alpha\rangle_A = ?$



- ▶ the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{256,A=1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{256,A=3}$
- ▶ under  $SO(9)$ ,  $256 = 44 + 84 + 128$   
 $\rightarrow |st\rangle, |stu\rangle, |s\alpha\rangle$
- ▶  $\psi^{(0)}$  could be eg.

$$|su\rangle_1 |tu\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |s\alpha\rangle_1 |t\alpha\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3, \quad |suv\rangle_1 |tuv\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3$$

- ▶ we need  $\theta_{\alpha A} |st\rangle_A = ?$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha A} |stu\rangle_A = ?$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha A} |i\alpha\rangle_A = ?$

▶

$$2\theta_{\alpha} |st\rangle = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^s |t\beta\rangle + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^t |s\beta\rangle,$$

$$\theta_{\alpha} |stu\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st} |u\beta\rangle + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{us} |t\beta\rangle + \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{tu} |s\beta\rangle \right).$$

- ▶ Define

$$\begin{array}{c} ||| \\ 44 \end{array} 1 \rangle := |su\rangle_1 |tu\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3,$$

$$\begin{array}{c} ||| \\ 844 \end{array} 1 \rangle := |suv\rangle_1 |tuv\rangle_2 |st\rangle_3 + |tuv\rangle_1 |st\rangle_2 |suv\rangle_3 + |st\rangle_1 |suv\rangle_2 |tuv\rangle_3$$

then it follows that

$$\phi := \begin{array}{c} ||| \\ 44 \end{array} 1 \rangle + \frac{13}{36} \begin{array}{c} ||| \\ 844 \end{array} 1 \rangle$$

is  $SU(2)$  invariant  $\rightarrow \psi^{(0)} \sim \phi$  (no **128** content)

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ the basis - define

$$b_{AB} := \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\alpha B}, \quad b_{AB}^i := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\beta B}.$$

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ the basis - define

$$b_{AB} := \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\alpha B}, \quad b_{AB}^i := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\beta B}.$$

- ▶ and

$$v_1 := b_{AB} b_{AB}, \quad v_2 := b_{AB}^i b_{AB}^i, \quad w_1 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^i b_{CD}^j b_{DA}^j, \quad w_2 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^j b_{CD}^i b_{DA}^j.$$

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ the basis - define

$$b_{AB} := \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\alpha B}, \quad b_{AB}^i := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\beta B}.$$

- ▶ and

$$v_1 := b_{AB} b_{AB}, \quad v_2 := b_{AB}^i b_{AB}^i, \quad w_1 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^j b_{CD}^j b_{DA}^i, \quad w_2 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^j b_{CD}^i b_{DA}^j.$$

- ▶ the basis

$$r_1 = v_1 v_1 v_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_2 = v_1 v_1 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_3 = v_1 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_4 = v_2 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle,$$

$$r_5 = v_1 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_6 = v_2 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_7 = v_1 w_2 |0\rangle.$$

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ the basis - define

$$b_{AB} := \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\alpha B}, \quad b_{AB}^i := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\beta B}.$$

- ▶ and

$$v_1 := b_{AB} b_{AB}, \quad v_2 := b_{AB}^i b_{AB}^i, \quad w_1 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^i b_{CD}^j b_{DA}^j, \quad w_2 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^j b_{CD}^i b_{DA}^j.$$

- ▶ the basis

$$r_1 = v_1 v_1 v_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_2 = v_1 v_1 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_3 = v_1 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_4 = v_2 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle,$$

$$r_5 = v_1 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_6 = v_2 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_7 = v_1 w_2 |0\rangle.$$

- ▶ the final result

$$\chi = 326304r_1 + 488136r_2 + 72612r_3 + 1377r_4 + 114576r_5 - 176528r_6 + 10296r_7,$$

- ▶ an independent approach - explicit construction in the Fock space given by

$$\theta_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}, \lambda_{\hat{\alpha}}^\dagger$$

- ▶ the basis - define

$$b_{AB} := \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\alpha B}, \quad b_{AB}^i := \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^i \lambda_{\alpha A} \lambda_{\beta B}.$$

- ▶ and

$$v_1 := b_{AB} b_{AB}, \quad v_2 := b_{AB}^i b_{AB}^i, \quad w_1 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^i b_{CD}^j b_{DA}^j, \quad w_2 = b_{AB}^i b_{BC}^j b_{CD}^i b_{DA}^j.$$

- ▶ the basis

$$r_1 = v_1 v_1 v_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_2 = v_1 v_1 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_3 = v_1 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle, \quad r_4 = v_2 v_2 v_2 |0\rangle,$$

$$r_5 = v_1 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_6 = v_2 w_1 |0\rangle, \quad r_7 = v_1 w_2 |0\rangle.$$

- ▶ the final result

$$\chi = 326304 r_1 + 488136 r_2 + 72612 r_3 + 1377 r_4 + 114576 r_5 - 176528 r_6 + 10296 r_7,$$

- ▶  $\chi \sim \phi!$



## Summary

► SYMQM:

$$2\delta_{\alpha\beta}H = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = \left(-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} X_{sB} X_{tC}\right)\theta_{\alpha A}$$

## Summary

▶ SYMQM:

$$2\delta_{\alpha\beta}H = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = \left(-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} X_{sB} X_{tC}\right)\theta_{\alpha A}$$

▶ outstanding problems

- does the ground state exist?
- what is it?
- other bound states?
- large N limit?

## Summary

- ▶ SYMQM:

$$2\delta_{\alpha\beta}H = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = \left(-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} X_{sB} X_{tC}\right)\theta_{\alpha A}$$

- ▶ outstanding problems

- does the ground state exist?
- what is it?
- other bound states?
- large N limit?

- ▶  $G_2$  deformation, group averaging techniques (J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm, M.T.)

## Summary

▶ SYMQM:

$$2\delta_{\alpha\beta}H = \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\}, \quad Q_\beta = \left(-i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^s \partial_{sA} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} f_{ABC} X_{sB} X_{tC}\right)\theta_{\alpha A}$$

▶ outstanding problems

- does the ground state exist?
- what is it?
- other bound states?
- large N limit?

▶  $G_2$  deformation, group averaging techniques  
(J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm, M.T.)

▶ Taylor expansion  $\rightarrow \Psi(0) = \phi$  (two ways)