Max Baak (CERN) on behalf of the Gfitter group (\*) European Physical Society – HEP 16<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> July 2009, Krakow





http://cern.ch/Gfitter

Gfitter paper published in Eur. Phys. J. C 60, 543 (2009)

### **Constraints on New Physics theories with Gfitter**

- Introduction: Gfitter, the SM fit
- Oblique parameters
- Littlest Higgs model
- Two Higgs doublet model (Type-II)

(\*) M.B. (CERN), H. Flächer (CERN), M. Goebel (Univ. Hamburg, DESY), J. Haller (Univ. Hamburg), A. Höcker (CERN), D. Ludwig (Univ. Hamburg, DESY), K. Mönig (DESY), M. Schott (CERN), J. Stelzer (DESY)





# A Generic Fitter Project for HEP Model Testing

Flexible framework for involved fitting problems in the LHC era (and beyond)

Based on ROOT framework (math libraries, drawing)

#### Modular design: Physics plug-in packages

- Library for the Standard Model fit to the electroweak precision data
- Library for SM extensions via the oblique parameters
- Library for the 2HDM extension of the SM
- Consistent treatment of: correlations and inter-parameter dependencies, statistical, systematic, theoretical uncertainties
  - Theoretical uncertainties: Rfit prescription
    - Conservative approach. Included in  $\chi^2$  estimator with flat likelihood in allowed ranges
  - Advanced statistical analysis methods:
    - E.g. goodness-of-fit, p-value, parameter scans, MC toy analyses, etc.
    - Frequentist approach

ц

[CKM fitter, EPJ C21, 225 (2002)]



# **fitter**A Gfitter Package for the<br/>Global Electroweak Fit

#### Complete re-implementation of electroweak theory

- SM predictions of electroweak precision observables
- Excellent agreement with ZFitter
- State-of-the art calculations in OMS scheme
  - Radiator functions: N<sup>3</sup>LO of the massless QCD Adler function [P.A. Baikov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 012022]
  - M<sub>W</sub> and sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sup>f</sup><sub>eff</sub>: full two-loop + leading beyond-two-loop correction [M. Awramik et al., Phys. Rev D69, 053006 (2004) and ref.][M. Awramik et al., Nucl.Phys.B813:174-187 (2009) and refs.]
- Two electroweak fits performed
  - Standard Fit: All data except results from direct Higgs searches
  - Complete Fit: All data including results from direct Higgs searches at LEP and Tevatron [ADLO: Phys. Lett. B565, 61 (2003)] [CDF+D0: arXiv:0903.4001]

### SM Fit Results – Higgs Mass Constraints



• See talk A. Hoecker for details on SM fit!

- M<sub>H</sub> from standard fit:
  - Central value  $\pm 1\sigma$ : M<sub>H</sub> =  $83^{+30}_{-23}$  GeV
  - 2σ interval: [41,158] GeV
  - 3σ interval: [28,211] GeV
- green error band from theoretical errors
  - Included in  $\chi^2$  with "flat likelihood term"
- M<sub>H</sub> from complete fit:
  - Central value  $\pm 1\sigma$ : M<sub>H</sub> = 116.4<sup>+15.6</sup><sub>-1.3</sub> GeV
  - 2σ interval: [114,153] GeV
- Goodness of fit:
  - Standard fit:  $\chi^2/n_{dof} = 16.4/13$
  - Complete fit:  $\chi^2/n_{dof} = 17.8/14$
- Probability of falsely rejecting SM ('p-value') evaluated using toy-MC
  - (20.4±0.4<sub>-0.2</sub>)%
- No requirement for new physics



### **Test of SM extensions via Oblique Corrections**





 At low energies, BSM physics appears dominantly through vacuum polarization corrections



- Aka, oblique corrections
- Oblique corrections reabsorbed into electroweak parameters
  - Appearing in:  $M_W^2$ ,  $sin^2\theta_{eff}$ ,  $G_F$ ,  $\alpha$ , etc
- Electroweak fit sensitive to BSM physics through oblique corrections
  - In direct competition with sensitivity to Higgs loop corrections

 Oblique corrections from New Physics described through STU parametrization [Peskin and Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D46, 1 (1991)]

 $O_{meas} = O_{SM,REF}(m_H,m_t) + c_SS + c_TT + c_UU$ 

- S: New Physics contributions to neutral currents
- T: Difference between neutral and charged current processes (sensitive to isospin violation)
- U: (+S) New Physics contributions to charged currents. U only sensitive to W mass and width. [Usually very small in NP models (often: U=0)]
- Also implemented: correction to Z→bb coupling, extended parameters (VWX)
   [Burgess et al., Phys. Lett. B326, 276 (1994)]
   [Burgess et al., Phys. Rev. D49, 6115 (1994)]

### **Fit to Oblique Parameters**



- S,T,U derived from fit to electroweak observables (see global SM fit)
  - Other floating fit parameters:  $M_Z$ ,  $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ ,  $\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2)$
  - 68%, 95% CL ellipses for various  $M_H$  values, and  $m_t = 173.1$  GeV (fixed)



### Littlest Higgs Model, with T-Parity



- LHM solves hierarchy problem, non-linear sigma model
- 'Littlest' HM: broken Global SU(5)/SO(5) symmetry
  - Higgs = lightest pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson
  - New SM-like fermions and gauge bosons at TeV scale
  - SM contributions to Higgs mass cancelled by new particles
- T-parity = symmetry like R-parity (not time-invariance)
  - Symmetry forbids direct couplings of new gauge bosons to SM particles
  - Provides natural dark matter candidate
- Two new heavy top-quark states: T-even m<sub>T+</sub> and T-odd m<sub>T-</sub>
- Dominant oblique corrections:



### **Littlest Higgs with T-Parity**

- STU predictions (oblique corrections) inserted for Littlest Higgs model [Hubisz et al., JHEP 0601:135 (2006)]
- Parameters of LH model
  - f : symmetry breaking scale (scale of new particles)
  - s<sub>λ</sub>≅m<sub>T-</sub> /m<sub>T+</sub> : ratio of T-odd/-even masses in top sector
  - Order one-coefficient δ<sub>c</sub> (value depends on detail of UV physics)
    - Treated as theory uncertainty in fit (Rfit) :  $\delta_c$ = [-5,5]
- F: degree of fine-tuning
- LH model prefers large Higgs mass, with only small degree of fine-tuning





# G fitter <sup>2H</sup><sub>DM</sub>

### A Gfitter Package for 2HDM SM Extensions

#### Two Higgs Doublet Model (Type-II)

- SM extended by additional Higgs doublet (2HDM)
- One Higgs doublet couples to up-type fermions, other doublet couples to down-type fermions
- Five Higgs bosons: 3 neutral (A<sup>0</sup>, h<sup>0</sup>, H<sup>0</sup>), two charged (H<sup>±</sup>)
- 6 Free parameters  $\rightarrow M_{H\pm}$ ,  $M_{A0}$ ,  $M_{H0}$ ,  $M_h$ ,  $\tan\beta$ ,  $|\alpha|$
- [Type-II 2HDM resembles Higgs sector in MSSM]



- We have looked at processes sensitive to charged Higgs interactions  $\mathcal{L}_{H^{\pm}ff} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}m_W} \left\{ H^+ \bar{U} \Big[ M_U V_{CKM} \left(1 - \gamma_5\right) \underbrace{\cot\beta} + V_{CKM} M_D \left(1 + \gamma_5\right) \underbrace{\tan\beta} \right] D + \text{h.c.} \right\}$
- Interaction has similar structure as W-boson
  - Left-handed coupling: 1/tanβ, right-handed coupling: tanβ
- Sensitive parameters  $\rightarrow M_{H+}$ , tan $\beta$ 
  - LEP limit:  $M_{H+}$  > 78.6 GeV (95%CL), for any value of tan $\beta$

	Observable	Input value	Exp. Ref.	Calculation
	R <sub>b</sub> <sup>0</sup>	0.21629 ± 0.00066	[ADLO, Phys. Rept. 427, 257 (2006)	[H. E. Haber and H. E. Logan, Phys. Rev. D62, 015011 (2000)]
Measurements	BR (Β->Χ <sub>s</sub> γ)	(3.52±0.23±0.09)·10 <sup>-4</sup>	[HFAG, latest update]	[M. Misiak et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 022002 (2007)]
of interest	BR (Β->τν)	(1.73±0.33)·10 <sup>-4</sup>	[P.Chang, Talk at ICHEP 2008]	[W. S. Hou, Phys. Rev. D48, 2342 (1993)]
Irom B-physics	BR (Β->μν)	(-5.7±6.8±7.1)·10 <sup>-4</sup>	[E. Baracchini, Talk at ICHEP 2008]	[W. S. Hou, Phys Rev. D48, 2342 (1993)]
	BR (Κ->μν)/ BR(π->μν)	1.004±0.007	[FlaviaNet,, arXiv: 0801.1817]	[FlaviaNet, arXiv: 0801.1817]
	BR(B->Dτν)/ BR(B->Deν)	0.416±0.117±0.052	[Babar, Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 021801 (2008)]	[J. F. Kamenik and F. Mescia, arXiv:0802.3790]

### $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ and $R^0_b$



- Penguin dipole-moment of b→sγ allows combination of left- and right-handed Higgs couplings.
- Wilson coefficient:

$$C_7^H \approx -\frac{m_t^2}{2M_H^2} \left( \frac{7}{36} \frac{1}{\tan^2 \beta} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{m_H^2}{m_t^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

• 
$$B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$$
 :  $M_H > 200 \text{ GeV for } \tan \beta > 1$ 



- Z vertex contribution suppressed by  $1/\tan^2\beta$
- $R_b^0$  sensitive to small tan $\beta$  only



2.5

2

3.5

2

0.5

1

1.5

5 tan。

4.5



(BRx10 <sup>-4</sup> )	Oct '08	EPS '09	Reference
BR(B->τν) <sub>meas</sub>	1.51 ± 0.33 (	1.73 ± 0.35	FPCP 2009
BR(B->τν) <sub>SM</sub>	1.20 +0.36 -0.30 (	0.87 +0.21 -0.18	(Vub direct-measurements.)
V <sub>ub</sub> (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	3.81 ± 0.47	3.70 ± 0.33	Gambino,Giordano, Ossola,Uraltsev
f <sub>в</sub> (MeV)	216 ± 22	190 ± 13	HPQCD '09 using NRQCD, Davies at FPCP'09
BR(B->τν) <sub>CKM</sub>	0.83 +0.27 -0.10	0.80 +0.15 -0.09	CKM Fitter '09, indirect Vub

- Latest measurements used
- We use prediction based on direct measurements of V<sub>ub</sub>.
- [2.1σ deviation between measurement and SM prediction for BR(B→τν)]



### Other measurements w/ tree-level contributions





Constraints on New Physics theories with Gfitter



- Combined exclusion area depends on assumption on number of dof.
  - n<sub>dof</sub>=1 : where single constraint dominates.
  - n<sub>dof</sub>=2 : several observables contribute.

- MC toy study to resolve exclusion area
- [Combined limit not necessarily stronger than single constraint due to increasing n<sub>dof</sub>]



### **Conclusion & Prospects**



- Gfitter = powerful framework for involved HEP model fit problems
  - w/ advanced studies of statistical fit properties
- Results of SM electroweak fit
  - → See talk by Andreas Hoecker
  - No requirement for physics beyond SM (large p-value)
- Tests of New Physics models through oblique corrections
  - Constraints on Littlest Higgs model
- Constraints on Two-Higgs-Doublet Model (Type II)
  - Excluded @ 95% CL:  $M_H < 240$  GeV for all tan $\beta$
- Expect to see more NP models tested by Gfitter in near future!
- More information / all results:
  - <u>http://cern.ch/Gfitter</u>
  - Continuous support & updates
  - Paper published in Eur. Phys. J. C 60, 543 (2009)





### A Generic Fitter Project for HEP Model Testing

## Backup

Max Baak

Constraints on New Physics theories with Gfitter

### **The Electroweak Fit – Experimental Input**



•	$ \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:second} \mbox{Z-pole precision cross-section and asymmetry} \\ \mbox{measuments from LEP / SLC(*):} \\ \mbox{$M_Z$, $\Gamma_Z$} & [ADLO+SLD, Phys. Rept. 427, 257 (2006)] \\ \mbox{$Hadronic x-section at $Z$ pole $\sigma^0_{had}$} \\ \mbox{$Leptonic ratio $R^0_{l}$} \\ \mbox{$Hadronic ratios $R^0_{c}$, $R^0_{b}$ (*) \\ \mbox{$Hadronic ratios $R^0_{c}$, $R^0_{b}$ (*) \\ \mbox{$FB$ asymmetries $A_{FB}^{0,l,c,b}$ (f.s. angular distributions) (*) \\ \mbox{$LR$ asymmetries $(*)$} \\ \mbox{$SLC $A_{l}$, $A_{c}$, $A_{b}$ (IS polarization), $LEP $A_{l}$ ($\tau$ polarization) \\ \mbox{$FB$ charge asymmetry $Q_{FB}$ } \end{array} $	$egin{aligned} M_Z \ [{ m GeV}] \ \Gamma_Z \ [{ m GeV}] \ \sigma_{ m had}^0 \ [{ m nb}] \ R_\ell^0 \ A_{ m FB}^{0,\ell} \ A_\ell^{0,\ell} \ A_\ell \ ^{(\star)} \ A_c \ A_b \ A_{ m FB}^{0,c} \ A_{ m FB}^{0,c} \ A_{ m FB}^{0,b} \ A_{ m FB}^{0,c} \ R_b^0 \ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91.1875 \pm 0.0021 \\ 2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \\ 41.540 \pm 0.037 \\ 20.767 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.0171 \pm 0.0010 \\ 0.1499 \pm 0.0018 \\ 0.670 \pm 0.027 \\ 0.923 \pm 0.020 \\ 0.0707 \pm 0.0035 \\ 0.0992 \pm 0.0016 \\ 0.1721 \pm 0.0030 \\ 0.21629 \pm 0.00066 \end{array}$
•	M <sub>H</sub> in complete fit: likelihood ratios from LEP/Tevatron	$\frac{\sin^2\!\theta_{\rm eff}^\ell(Q_{\rm FB})}{-}$	$0.2324 \pm 0.0012$
	$M_W$ and $\Gamma_W$ from LEP/Tevatron [ADLO,CFD+D0: arXiv:0811.4682]	$M_H$ [GeV] <sup>(<math>\circ</math>)</sup>	Likelihood ratios
•	m <sub>c</sub> , m <sub>b</sub> world averages [PDG, J. Phys. G33,1 (2006)]	$M_W$ [GeV] $\Gamma_W$ [GeV]	$\begin{array}{c} 80.399 \pm 0.023 \\ 2.098 \pm 0.048 \end{array}$
•	m <sub>t</sub> latest Tevatron average [arXivx:0808.1089 [hep-ex]]	$\overline{\overline{m}_c}$ [GeV]	$1.25\pm0.09$
•	$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2)$ including $\alpha_S$ dependency [Hagiwara et al., PLB649,173,'07] Theoretical uncertainties	$\overline{m}_{b}$ [GeV] $m_{t}$ [GeV] $\Delta \alpha_{\rm had}^{(5)}(M_{Z}^{2})^{(\dagger \bigtriangleup)}$ $\alpha_{s}(M_{Z}^{2})$	$4.20 \pm 0.07$ $173.1 \pm 1.3$ $2768 \pm 22$ -
	• $M_W (\delta M_W = 4 - 6 MeV)$ , $\sin^2 \theta'_{eff} (\delta \sin^2 \theta'_{eff} = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-5})$	$\overline{\delta_{\mathrm{th}}M_W}$ [MeV]	$[-4,4]_{\mathrm{theo}}$
•	• $M_Z$ , $\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2)$ , $\alpha_S(M_Z^2)$ , $\overline{m_c}$ , $\overline{m_b}$ , $m_t$ , $M_H$	$ \begin{aligned} \delta_{\rm th} \sin^2\!\theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell} ^{(\dagger)} \\ \delta_{\rm th} \rho_Z^f ^{(\dagger)} \\ \delta_{\rm th} \kappa_Z^f ^{(\dagger)} \end{aligned} $	$[-4.7, 4.7]_{ m theo}$ $[-2, 2]_{ m theo}$ $[-2, 2]_{ m theo}$



- LHC, ILC (+GigaZ)\*
  - Exp. improvement on  $M_W$ ,  $m_t$ ,  $sin^2 \theta^I_{eff}$ ,  $R_I^0$
  - In addition improved  $\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2)$ [F. Jegerlehner, hep-ph/0105283]

	Expected uncertainty			
Quantity	Present	LHC	ILC	GigaZ (ILC)
$M_W$ [MeV]	25	15	15	6
$m_t \; [ \; \text{GeV} ]$	1.2	1.0	0.2	0.1
$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell} \ [10^{-5}]$	17	17	17	1.3
$R_{\ell}^0 \ [10^{-2}]$	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.4
$\Delta \alpha_{\rm had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2) \ [10^{-5}]$	22(7)	22~(7)	22~(7)	22~(7)
$\overline{M_H (= 120 \text{ GeV}) [\text{ GeV}]} $ $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) [10^{-4}]$	$^{+56}_{-40} \begin{pmatrix} +52\\ -39 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +39\\ -31 \end{bmatrix}$ 28	$^{+45}_{-35} \begin{pmatrix} +42\\ -33 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +30\\ -25 \end{bmatrix}$ 28	$^{+42}_{-33} \begin{pmatrix} +39\\ -31 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +28\\ -23 \end{bmatrix}$ 27	$ \begin{array}{c} +27 \\ -23 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} +20 \\ -18 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +8 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} \\ 6 \end{array} $



- Assume M<sub>H</sub>=120 GeV by adjusting central values of observables
- Improvement of M<sub>H</sub> prediction
  - to be confronted with direct measurement → goodness-of-fit
  - Broad minima: Rfit treatment of theo. uncertainties
- GigaZ: significant improvement for  $M_H$  and  $\alpha_S(M_Z^2)$

\*[ATLAS, Physics TDR (1999)][CMS, Physics TDR (2006)][A. Djouadi et al., arXiv:0709.1893][I. Borjanovic, EPJ C39S2, 63 (2005)][S. Haywood et al., hepph/0003275][R. Hawkings, K. Mönig, EPJ direct C1, 8 (1999)][A. H. Hoang et al., EPJ direct C2, 1 (2000)][M. Winter, LC-PHSM-2001-016]

Constraints on New Physics theories with Gfitter